



Exploring the nexus between community empowerment, governance, and sustainable development: A case study of Chimanimani District, Zimbabwe

Timothy Marango¹ , Joseph Francis²  & Leonard Chitongo³ 

¹ Research Council of Zimbabwe, 11 Stafford Road, Mt Pleasant, Harare, Zimbabwe.

² Institute for Rural Development, University of Venda. Bag X5050, Thohoyandou 0950, Limpopo, South Africa.

³ Department of Biological and Environmental Sciences, Walter Sisulu University, Mthatha, South Africa.

ABSTRACT

Community empowerment and inclusive governance are widely recognised as essential foundations for sustainable development and multidimensional poverty reduction. Despite Zimbabwe's policy commitment to decentralisation and participatory development, there remains limited empirical understanding of how rural communities perceive empowerment and governance processes and how these influence sustainable development outcomes. This study investigates the relationship between community empowerment, governance, and sustainable development in Chimanimani District, Zimbabwe, using a convergent mixed-methods design. Quantitative data were collected from 220 household respondents across five wards using structured questionnaires, while qualitative data were obtained through semi-structured interviews with 16 purposively selected key informants. The survey data were analysed using descriptive statistics to identify patterns in community perceptions of empowerment and governance, whereas qualitative data were analysed thematically to explain and contextualise the survey findings. The findings indicate that limited community participation in decision-making, perceived political influence in leadership selection, unequal opportunities for leadership, and inadequate consultation by development partners constrain participatory governance and community empowerment. In contrast, literacy, numeracy, vocational training, leadership development, transparent institutional arrangements, and inclusive stakeholder engagement emerged as important enablers of meaningful participation and sustainable rural development. The study advances Empowerment Theory by demonstrating that sustainable community empowerment extends beyond access to financial resources and development projects to include institutional inclusivity, accountability, and community agency. The framework provides practical guidance for policymakers, local authorities, development partners, and civil society organisations that seek to strengthen community-led poverty reduction and accelerate progress towards Sustainable Development Goal 1 in Zimbabwe and comparable rural contexts throughout Sub-Saharan Africa.

Keywords: Community Empowerment, Participatory Governance, Sustainable Development, Rural Development, Decentralisation.

CORRESPONDENCE – Leonard Chitongo Email: lechitongo@wsu.ac.za

PUBLICATION HISTORY - Received : 3rd March, 2026 | Accepted: 14th July, 2026 | Published: 25th September, 2026.

TO CITE THIS ARTICLE – Marango, Timothy, Joseph Francis, and Leonard Chitongo. "Exploring the nexus between community empowerment, governance, and sustainable Development: A case study of Chimanimani District, Zimbabwe." *E-Journal of Humanities, Arts and Social Sciences* 7, no.7 (2134 - 2153) <https://doi.org/10.38159/ehass.20267728>

COPYRIGHT AND LICENSING - © 2026 The Author(s). Published and Maintained by Noyam Journals.

This is an open access article under the CCBY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

INTRODUCTION

Community empowerment has emerged as a fundamental principle of sustainable development because it improves people's ability to influence decisions that affect their livelihoods, strengthens local institutions, and promotes resilient and inclusive development outcomes. Contemporary development scholarship increasingly rejects top-down development models that position communities as passive recipients of externally designed interventions. Instead, empowerment is conceptualized as a multidimensional process through which individuals and communities acquire the knowledge, capabilities, confidence, and institutional influence necessary to determine their own development trajectories.¹

This shift toward participatory development has become particularly important in the implementation of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), where inclusive governance is recognized as a prerequisite for sustainable poverty reduction.² Sustainable Development Goal 1 (No Poverty) extends beyond income poverty to encompass social inclusion, resilience, equitable access to resources, and institutional arrangements that enable citizens to participate meaningfully in development processes.³ Consequently, effective governance and empowered communities are increasingly viewed as mutually reinforcing pillars of sustainable development.

Although women's empowerment remains an essential component of inclusive development,⁴ community empowerment extends beyond gender considerations to include youth, traditional leaders, civil society organizations, community-based organizations, persons with disabilities, and other historically marginalized groups whose meaningful participation strengthens local governance and development outcomes.⁵ In Sub-Saharan Africa, decentralization reforms have sought to improve service delivery by transferring decision-making authority closer to local communities. However, empirical evidence suggests that decentralization does not automatically translate into effective participation. In many rural settings, community engagement remains constrained by elite capture, political interference, weak institutional accountability, inadequate stakeholder consultation, and limited community capacity to influence development decisions. These governance deficits frequently undermine the sustainability of development interventions despite significant investments by governments and development partners.⁶

Zimbabwe has similarly embraced decentralization and participatory governance through constitutional reforms and local government legislation aimed at strengthening citizen participation in development planning. Despite these policy reforms, questions remain about the extent to which rural communities experience genuine empowerment within local governance systems. Existing studies have predominantly examined decentralization, local government performance, or service delivery in isolation, with comparatively little attention to the interconnected relationships among empowerment, governance, and sustainable development from the perspective of rural communities themselves.

¹ K. Rainboth, "Empowering Communities: Strategies for Sustainable Development," *Journal of Political Science & Public Affairs* 11, no. 3 (2023): 32; Diana Dushkova and Olga Ivlieva, "Empowering Communities to Act for a Change: A Review of the Community Empowerment Programs towards Sustainability and Resilience," *Sustainability* 16, no. 19 (October 9, 2024): 8700, <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16198700>.

² Mulugeta Dinbabo, "Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and Policy Frameworks for Development in Africa," *New Agenda* 96 (2025), <https://doi.org/10.14426/na.v96i1.2518>; Shikha Vyas-Doorgapersad, "Overview of the Implementation of Sustainable Development Goal One in South Africa," *International Journal of Research in Business and Social Science* (2147- 4478) 11, no. 6 (September 12, 2022): 626–34, <https://doi.org/10.20525/ijrbs.v11i6.1889>.

³ Mohammad Zaki Bin Che Aat and Faridah Jaafar, "Addressing Poverty Through Sustainable Development Goal 1: Progress, Challenges, and Opportunities," *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science* IX, no. IV (2025): 1690–95, <https://doi.org/10.47772/IJRISS.2025.90400125>; United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs, *Goal 1: End Poverty in All Its Forms Everywhere: United Nations SDG 1 Targets and Indicators* (New York, NY: : United Nations, 2025).

⁴ D. Poonam and C Sharma, "Empowering Women for Sustainable Development: Analysis of Policies and Practices in Developing Nations.," *International Journal of Advanced Research in Commerce, Management & Social Science (IJARCMSS)* 7, no. 3 (2024): 113–18.

⁵ Vellim Nyama and Geoffrey Mukwada, "Role of Citizen Participation in Local Development Planning in Murewa District," *South African Geographical Journal* 105, no. 3 (July 3, 2023): 329–45, <https://doi.org/10.1080/03736245.2022.2129765>.

⁶ Matthew Sabbi, Dieter Neubert, and Lamine Doumbia, "People and Municipalities: Decentralisation and the Articulation of Agency in Local Political Arenas in Africa," *Canadian Journal of African Studies / Revue Canadienne Des Études Africaines* 58, no. 2 (May 3, 2024): 243–61, <https://doi.org/10.1080/00083968.2024.2374572>.

In addition to the above, while considerable scholarship has examined poverty reduction interventions in Zimbabwe, relatively few studies have integrated quantitative and qualitative evidence to explain how governance processes shape community empowerment and influence sustainable development outcomes in disaster-prone rural districts. This represents an important empirical and conceptual gap because the quality of governance ultimately determines whether development interventions generate lasting community ownership, resilience, and poverty reduction.

Chimanimani District provides an important empirical context to investigate these issues. Following Cyclone Idai and subsequent recovery interventions, the district has experienced substantial engagement from government agencies, non-governmental organizations, traditional institutions, and local communities.⁷ This multiplicity of actors provides an opportunity to examine whether contemporary development interventions have strengthened community empowerment and participatory governance or whether institutional constraints continue to limit locally driven development. Accordingly, this study investigates community perceptions of empowerment and governance in Chimanimani District and examines how these influence sustainable rural development. Specifically, the study seeks to:

1. assess community perceptions of empowerment and governance within Chimanimani Rural District;
2. examine the extent to which governance structures facilitate meaningful community participation;
3. identify institutional and social factors that constrain community empowerment; and
4. propose an evidence-based governance framework capable of strengthening participatory rural development and accelerating progress towards Sustainable Development Goal 1.

By integrating Empowerment Theory with the Sustainable Livelihoods Approach, this study contributes theoretically by demonstrating how governance institutions mediate empowerment processes; empirically by providing community-level evidence from rural Zimbabwe; methodologically through a convergent mixed-methods design; and practically by proposing an integrated governance framework to strengthen community-led poverty reduction. These contributions extend current scholarship on participatory governance and sustainable development while providing policy-relevant insights for Zimbabwe and other decentralizing rural contexts throughout Sub-Saharan Africa.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Community Empowerment and Sustainable Development

Community empowerment has become a central concept in contemporary development theory because it shifts development from externally driven interventions towards locally owned and participatory processes. Rather than viewing communities as passive beneficiaries of development assistance, empowerment recognizes individuals and communities as active agents capable of influencing decisions that affect their livelihoods, institutions, and future development trajectories.⁸ Current scholarship increasingly conceptualizes empowerment as both a process and an outcome.⁹ As a process, empowerment strengthens people's capabilities, confidence, knowledge, and collective agency to participate in governance and development. As a result, it enables communities to exercise greater control over resources, influence policy decisions, and sustain locally driven development initiatives.

⁷ L. Chitongo et al., "Gendered Impacts of Climate Change in Africa: The Case of Cyclone Idai, Chimanimani, Zimbabwe," *The Fountain Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies* 3, no. 1 (2019): 30–44; Everjoy Grace Chiimba and Julia Verne, "Disaster Communication beyond the State? Community Organisations, Informal Information Flows and the Mediation of (Mis)Trust before and after Cyclone Idai in Zimbabwe," *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction* 76 (June 2022): 103012, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2022.103012>.

⁸ Rainboth, "Empowering Communities: Strategies for Sustainable Development"; Dushkova and Ivlieva, "Empowering Communities to Act for a Change: A Review of the Community Empowerment Programs towards Sustainability and Resilience."

⁹ D. Kala and J. Tiwari, "Community Engagement for Inclusion," *The International Journal of Indian Psychology*, 2025, 13–2.

Consequently, empowerment extends beyond economic assistance to encompass institutional inclusion, social capital, leadership, and democratic participation.¹⁰

Mustanir et al. argue that sustainable development is most effective when communities actively participate in determining their own development priorities.¹¹ This perspective reflects a broader paradigm shift from needs-based development towards capability-based development, where poverty reduction depends not only on resource availability but also on expanding people's opportunities and freedoms to shape their own futures. Despite broad consensus on the importance of empowerment, scholars continue to debate the institutional conditions under which empowerment yields sustainable development outcomes. Although some studies emphasize access to financial resources and livelihood opportunities, others argue that empowerment remains ineffective unless accompanied by transparent governance, accountable institutions, and meaningful citizen participation.¹² This suggests that empowerment and governance should be understood as mutually reinforcing rather than independent dimensions of sustainable development.

Governance, Participation and Local Development

Good governance has become a cornerstone of sustainable development because it determines how public institutions allocate resources, formulate policies, and respond to citizens' needs. Participatory governance extends beyond administrative efficiency by creating institutional arrangements that allow communities to influence the planning, implementation, monitoring, and evaluation of development interventions.¹³ Local authorities occupy a strategic position within decentralized governance systems because they constitute the primary interface between citizens and government. Their effectiveness, therefore, depends not only on administrative capacity but also on transparency, accountability, responsiveness, and the degree to which communities participate in decision-making processes.

Although decentralization has been widely promoted in Africa as a mechanism for improving local governance, empirical evidence remains mixed.¹⁴ Several studies demonstrate that decentralization frequently transfers administrative responsibilities without simultaneously transferring meaningful decision-making authority or strengthening local accountability. Consequently, formal participatory structures may exist while the substantive influence of the community on development decisions remains limited. These findings suggest that institutional reforms alone are insufficient to strengthen community empowerment unless they are accompanied by genuine political commitment, adequate institutional capacity, and mechanisms that allow citizens to participate meaningfully in governance.

Civil Society and Community Empowerment

Civil society organizations have become important actors in contemporary development governance because they complement state institutions by delivering services, advocating, developing capacity, and mobilizing communities.¹⁵ Rather than functioning solely as service providers, civil society organizations increasingly facilitate participatory governance by strengthening accountability, promoting social inclusion, building community capabilities, and amplifying the voices of marginalized

¹⁰ T Marvin, "Social Inclusion and Empowerment through Community Development," *Research and Reviews: Journal of Social Sciences* 10, no. 4 (2024): 003.

¹¹ Ahmad Mustanir et al., "Tracing the Evolution of Community Empowerment Models in Development Planning," *Jurnal Ilmu Sosial Dan Ilmu Politik* 28, no. 3 (July 31, 2025): 400, <https://doi.org/10.22146/jsp.99202>.

¹² A. S Adnan, N Ibrahim, and R. s/o T Maheswaran, "Empowering Local Governments for Sustainable Development: Unleashing Capacity for Community Governance," *European Journal of Applied Sciences* 17, no. 2 (2025): 50–55; H Zahari et al., "The Impact of Community Empowerment Programs on Achieving Sustainable Development Goals in Local Communities," *International Journal of Social Science and Humanity* 1, no. 3 (2025).

¹³ R. A Karunaratne and W. M. W Shyamali, "Role of Community Participatory Approach in Community Development," *The Academic* 3, no. 1 (2025): 801.

¹⁴ Margaret Wanjiku Ngunjiri, *Citizen Participation in Local Governance in Africa: A Selection of Case Studies* (International Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance (International IDEA), 2023), <https://doi.org/10.31752/idea.2023.26>.

¹⁵ S. E. A Mavee, "The Relevance of Civil Society Participation in Democratic Governance," *Administratio Publica* 30, no. 3 (2022); R. A Olaore, *The Role of Civil Society Organizations in Community Development [Keynote Speech, Community Development Program, Aduragbemi Foundation Award Ceremony (Iba, Osun State, Nigeria, 2024)]*.

populations.¹⁶ Their effectiveness, however, depends on collaborative partnerships with local government and communities rather than donor-driven interventions implemented independently of local priorities.¹⁷

Recent evidence from Zimbabwe indicates that partnerships between communities and civil society organizations can strengthen livelihoods through improved access to water, agricultural production, and local economic opportunities.¹⁸ Nevertheless, donor priorities, institutional fragmentation, and limited community consultation continue to constrain the transformative potential of many NGO-led interventions.¹⁹ Consequently, community participation often remains consultative rather than genuinely collaborative, reducing local ownership and the sustainability of development programmes.

Indigenous Governance Systems and Participatory Development

Participatory governance is not a recent innovation in indigenous governance institutions. Traditional deliberative systems such as the Shona *dare* (plural *matare*), the Ndebele *inkundla*, and the Siswati *imbizo* illustrate longstanding traditions of collective decision-making, accountability, dialogue, and consensus-building. These indigenous institutions demonstrate that participation extends beyond consultation to collective deliberation on matters affecting community welfare. Contemporary participatory governance frameworks, therefore, share important philosophical foundations with indigenous governance traditions, suggesting that effective decentralization should integrate rather than replace local institutional knowledge. Jaure et al. further demonstrate that the *dare* represents both a governance institution and a participatory communication mechanism through which communities collectively negotiate decisions.²⁰ Integrating indigenous governance principles into contemporary development planning may therefore strengthen institutional legitimacy, social trust, and community ownership of development interventions.

Decentralization and Local Governance in Zimbabwe

Zimbabwe's decentralization reforms have sought to strengthen local democracy by transferring selected governance functions from central government to provincial and local authorities. The adoption of the 2013 Constitution provided constitutional recognition of local government and established devolution as a mechanism to improve citizen participation, accountability, and local development. Despite these reforms, numerous studies argue that decentralization remains constrained by persistent central government influence, political interference, limited fiscal autonomy, and weak institutional capacity.²¹ Consequently, local participation frequently remains procedural rather than substantive, limiting

¹⁶ X Thusi and M Mashabela, "The Role of Civil Society Organizations in Promoting Public Accountability: The Perspective of the South African Local Government," *IAHRW International Journal of Social Sciences Review* 11, no. 2 (2023): 175–80.

¹⁷ S Chisango, F Manuere, and Z Muranda, "Local Authorities' Performance in Zimbabwe: A Systematic Review of Related Literature," *International Journal of Research in Commerce and Management Studies* 5, no. 2 (2023): 41–53; Itai Kabonga, "NGOs and Poverty Reduction in Zimbabwe: Challenges and the Way Forward," *SN Social Sciences* 3, no. 6 (May 27, 2023): 90, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43545-023-00678-8>.

¹⁸ W. Mazorodze, "Civil Society Organisations and Community Partnership for Sustainable Livelihoods and Development in Ward 7 of Chivi District," in *Climate Change and Socio-Political Violence in Sub-Saharan Africa in the Anthropocene (The Anthropocene: Politik—Economics—Society—Science)*, ed. J. C. K. Kiyala and N. Chivasa, vol. 37 (Masvingo Province, Zimbabwe: Springer, 2024).

¹⁹ Zimbabwe Lawyers for Human Rights, "The Operating Space of Civil Society Organisations in Zimbabwe: A Critical Analysis of the Proposed Regulation of Civil Society," 2021.

²⁰ Jaure R, Makura A. H, and Alexander G, "Towards a Democratised Method of Data Collection through the Adoption and Adaptation of the Shona Concept of Dare," *African Journal of Social Work* 12, no. 4 (2022): 173–78.

²¹ Sylvester Marumahoko, "Local Government and the Challenges of Service Delivery: Zimbabwe in the Spotlight," *Journal of Public Administration, Finance and Law* 31 (2024): 219–37, <https://doi.org/10.47743/jopafl-2024-31-17>; Anesu Mirona and Christopher Namilonga, "The Impact of Zimbabwe's Constitutionalisation of Local Governance on Strengthening Citizen Participation and Good Governance," *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications (IJSRP)* 11, no. 10 (October 12, 2021): 357–64, <https://doi.org/10.29322/IJSRP.11.10.2021.p11841>; Sikanyiso Masuku and Tafadzwa Macheke, "Policy Making and Governance Structures in Zimbabwe: Examining Their Efficacy as a Conduit to Equitable Participation (Inclusion) and Social Justice for Rural Youths," *Cogent Social Sciences* 7, no. 1 (January 1, 2021), <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311886.2020.1855742>; L Mutoya, "Management of Local Authorities in Zimbabwe: What Needs to Be Done to Improve the Situation?," *International Journal of Multidisciplinary and Current Educational Research* 3, no. 1 (2020): 17–25.

communities' ability to influence budgeting, development planning, leadership selection, and resource allocation. This disconnect between formal decentralization and practical empowerment raises important questions about the effectiveness of governance reforms in promoting sustainable rural development.

Empirical Evidence from Zimbabwe and Southern Africa

Zimbabwe consistently demonstrates positive relationships between community participation, social capital, governance quality, and rural development outcomes.²² According to Nyama and Mukwada citizen participation in local development planning within Murewa District remained constrained by political interference, inadequate information dissemination, and weak community empowerment initiatives.²³ Similarly, Marango et al. reported that collective action strengthened resilience, institutional accountability, and sustainability of development initiatives within Chimanimani District.²⁴ Studies conducted elsewhere in Southern Africa reinforce these conclusions. For instance, Dube et al. observed that although formal participatory mechanisms existed within South African municipalities, citizens exercised limited influence over actual decision-making processes.²⁵ Likewise, Chitongo et al. demonstrated that fragmented institutional coordination and weak accountability reduced climate resilience despite substantial development investments.²⁶ Collectively, these studies indicate that sustainable development depends not only on decentralized institutional structures but also the quality of interactions between governments, communities, and development partners. Nevertheless, existing research has primarily examined participation, governance, resilience, or service delivery independently, leaving insufficient understanding of how these dimensions interact to influence community empowerment and sustainable development simultaneously.

Research Gap

Although considerable scholarship has examined decentralization, local governance, citizen participation, and rural development in Zimbabwe and Southern Africa, several important knowledge gaps remain. First, relatively few studies examine empowerment, governance, and sustainable development as an integrated framework despite their conceptual interdependence. Second, existing research predominantly evaluates institutional performance from the perspective of governments or development agencies, with comparatively limited attention given to how rural communities themselves perceive empowerment and governance effectiveness. Third, much of the available evidence relies exclusively on quantitative or qualitative approaches, limiting a comprehensive understanding of the mechanisms that link governance processes to sustainable development outcomes. Finally, there remains limited empirical evidence from disaster-prone rural districts such as Chimanimani, where multiple development actors simultaneously influence governance, recovery, resilience, and poverty reduction. This study addresses these gaps by integrating Empowerment Theory and the Sustainable Livelihoods Approach within a convergent mixed-methods design to examine how community empowerment and governance interact to influence sustainable development in Chimanimani District. In doing so, it contributes to theoretical, empirical, methodological, and policy insights relevant to Zimbabwe and comparable rural contexts in Sub-Saharan Africa.

²² Malose Moses Tjale et al., "Review of Community Development Initiatives for Poverty Reduction in Southern Africa," *Interdisciplinary Journal of Rural and Community Studies* 6 (August 7, 2024): 1–21, <https://doi.org/10.38140/ijrcs-2024.vol6.12>.

²³ Nyama and Mukwada, "Role of Citizen Participation in Local Development Planning in Murewa District."

²⁴ Timothy Marango, Leonard Chitongo, and Happwell Musarandega, "Collective Action For Rural Development Insights From Chimanimani Rural District Of Zimbabwe," *Ngenani: The Zimbabwe Ezekiel Guti Journal of Community Engagement and Societal Transformations*, October 14, 2024, 21–42, <https://doi.org/10.71458/zmzcc345>.

²⁵ C Dube, L Mnguni, and A Tschudin, "Peacebuilding through Public Participation Mechanisms in Local Government: The Case of Mbizana Local Municipality, South Africa," *Journal of Illicit Economies and Development*, 2019.

²⁶ Leonard Chitongo, Vincent Chenzi, and Caitlin Blaser Mapitsa, "Climate Governance and Resilience Action: The Southern African Context," 2024, 83–94, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-73600-1_6.

CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

Theoretical Foundation

This study is anchored on the Empowerment Theory²⁷ and the Sustainable Livelihoods Approach (SLA), which together provide a robust analytical framework for examining the complex relationships among community empowerment, governance, and sustainable development. The integration of these complementary theoretical perspectives enables a comprehensive understanding of how individual and collective capabilities interact with institutional structures to influence rural development outcomes. While Empowerment Theory explains the processes that affect their lives, the Sustainable Livelihoods Approach demonstrates how governance structures mediate access to livelihood assets and determine the sustainability of development interventions. Together, these theories provide a multidimensional perspective that is particularly relevant to analyzing participatory governance and poverty reduction in rural communities.

Empowerment Theory conceptualizes empowerment as both a developmental process and a desired outcome through which individuals, organizations, and communities enhance their capacity to exercise control over decisions and resources that shape their well-being.²⁸ Within rural development contexts, empowerment extends beyond economic assistance or service provision to encompass the expansion of people's knowledge, confidence, leadership capacity, and institutional influence. Communities are considered empowered when they can participate meaningfully in processes, influence development priorities, access relevant information, and hold public institutions accountable. Consequently, empowerment should not be understood merely as the delivery of development projects but as the strengthening of people's agency and collective capacity to determine their own development trajectories.

In the context of this study, community empowerment is operationalized through several interrelated dimensions, including access to education and skills development, literacy and numeracy, leadership development, participation in local governance, representation in decision-making structures, access to development information, and the ability to influence the allocation of local resources. These dimensions collectively explain how empowered communities become active participants rather than passive recipients of development initiatives.

The Sustainable Livelihoods Approach complements Empowerment Theory by broadening the understanding of poverty beyond income deprivation to include the capabilities and assets required for sustainable livelihoods.²⁹ According to this perspective, people's livelihoods are shaped by their access to five forms of capital, namely human, social, natural, physical, and financial capital, which are influenced by institutional arrangements, governance systems, and external shocks. Sustainable development, therefore, depends not only on the availability of these livelihood assets but also on governance structures that enable equitable access to opportunities and facilitate their productive use.

Within Chimanimani District, these livelihood capitals are closely related to governance and community empowerment.³⁰ Human capital is enhanced through education, literacy, vocational training, and leadership development. Social capital is strengthened through community networks, traditional governance institutions, collective action, and trust among community members. Natural capital includes agricultural land, forests, grazing areas, and water resources upon which rural livelihoods

²⁷ Marc A. Zimmerman, "Empowerment Theory," in *Handbook of Community Psychology* (Boston, MA: Springer US, 2000), 43–63, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4615-4193-6_2.

²⁸ Zimmerman, "Empowerment Theory"; Najla Mouchrek and Mark Benson, "The Theory of Integrated Empowerment in the Transition to Adulthood: Concepts and Measures," *Frontiers in Sociology* 8 (April 17, 2023), <https://doi.org/10.3389/fsoc.2023.893898>.

²⁹ Sabin Karki, "Sustainable Livelihood Framework: Monitoring and Evaluation," *International Journal of Social Sciences and Management* 8, no. 1 (January 25, 2021): 266–71, <https://doi.org/10.3126/ijssm.v8i1.34399>; M. W Mbatha, H Mnguni, and M. A Mubecua, "Subsistence Farming as a Sustainable Livelihood Approach for Rural Communities in South Africa," *African Journal of Development Studies* 11, no. 3 (2021): 55–75.

³⁰ Timothy Marango, "Level of Social Capital for Rural Development in Chimanimani District of Zimbabwe" (University of Venda, 2011).

depend. Physical capital includes roads, schools, health facilities, irrigation infrastructure, markets, and communication networks, while financial capital includes household income, savings, credit facilities, and productive investments. The Sustainable Livelihoods Approach, therefore, complements Empowerment Theory by demonstrating that community empowerment alone cannot generate sustainable development unless governance institutions facilitate equitable access to livelihood assets and create enabling environments that support community resilience and poverty reduction.

Drawing on the complementary theoretical perspectives articulated above, this study proposes an integrated conceptual framework in which community empowerment functions as the primary enabling condition for improving governance, while governance serves as the institutional mechanism through which empowerment translates into sustainable development outcomes. The framework assumes that investments in education, vocational training, leadership development, and community capacity-building improve individual and collective capabilities, thereby enabling citizens to participate more effectively in local governance. Increased participation strengthens transparency, accountability, institutional responsiveness, and equitable resource allocation, which in turn improve access to livelihood assets, enhance community resilience, and contribute to sustainable poverty reduction. Unlike existing frameworks that independently examine empowerment and governance, the proposed framework conceptualizes sustainable development as an outcome of dynamic interactions among community capabilities, institutional effectiveness, and livelihood opportunities.

METHODOLOGY

Research Design

This study employed a convergent mixed-methods research design to examine the relationships among community empowerment, governance, and sustainable development in Chimanimani District. The mixed-methods approach was selected because it provides a more comprehensive understanding of complex social phenomena than quantitative or qualitative methods alone. Community empowerment and governance are multidimensional constructs that encompass both measurable perceptions and contextual experiences that a single methodological approach can adequately capture. Consequently, the integration of quantitative and qualitative evidence allowed the study to develop a richer, more nuanced understanding of the institutional and social processes shaping sustainable rural development. The quantitative component provided measurable evidence of community perceptions regarding empowerment, governance, participation, leadership, accountability, and sustainable development, while the qualitative component offered detailed explanations of the statistical findings by capturing participants' lived experiences and contextual interpretations. The convergence of these complementary datasets strengthened the validity of the study through methodological triangulation and improved confidence in the overall findings.

Study Area

The study was conducted in Chimanimani District, located in Manicaland Province in eastern Zimbabwe along the country's border with Mozambique. Chimanimani provides an appropriate case study because it combines persistent rural poverty, decentralized governance structures, extensive engagement by government institutions and development partners, and significant post-disaster reconstruction following Cyclone Idai.³¹ These characteristics create a unique environment to examine how community empowerment and governance influence sustainable development in a disaster-prone rural setting. The district comprises twenty-three administrative wards administered by the Chimanimani Rural District Council in collaboration with traditional leadership institutions, central government departments, civil society organizations, and numerous non-governmental organizations. The interaction of these multiple governance actors provides an ideal context to investigate the effectiveness of participatory governance and community empowerment initiatives.

Study Population and Sampling

³¹ Food and Nutrition Council, "Chimanimani District Food and Nutrition Security Profile," 2022.

A multistage sampling strategy was used to select study participants. Five wards were purposively selected to capture geographical diversity, socioeconomic variation, and differences in development experiences across the district. These wards represented communities with varying levels of infrastructure, livelihood opportunities, governance arrangements, and exposure to development interventions.

A total of 220 household respondents participated in the quantitative survey, with forty-four respondents selected from each ward. This sample size provided sufficient statistical power to examine community perceptions across the study area while ensuring balanced representation among the selected wards. For the qualitative phase, 16 key informants were purposively selected for their knowledge and direct involvement in governance and rural development. Participants included officials from the Rural District Council, representatives of relevant government departments, traditional leaders, councillors, representatives of non-governmental organizations, community-based organizations, and local community leaders. Their extensive experience provided valuable contextual insights that complemented and explained the quantitative findings.

Data Collection

Table 1 shows the data collection tools used in the current study. Quantitative data were collected using a structured questionnaire consisting primarily of five-point Likert-scale items ranging from strongly disagree to strongly agree.³² The questionnaire measured respondents' perceptions regarding community empowerment, governance effectiveness, participation in decision-making, leadership, accountability, institutional responsiveness, and sustainable development. The instrument was developed from established literature on empowerment and governance and adapted to reflect the socioeconomic and institutional realities of rural Zimbabwe.

Qualitative data were collected through semi-structured interviews conducted after completion of the household survey. This sequencing allowed qualitative evidence to explain, validate, and enrich the statistical findings. The interview guide explored participants' experiences regarding leadership selection, community participation, institutional accountability, NGO engagement, governance challenges, and opportunities to strengthen community empowerment. All interviews were conducted with informed consent, audio-recorded, and transcribed verbatim to ensure accuracy during analysis.

Data Analysis

Quantitative data were entered into Microsoft Excel before being exported to IBM SPSS Statistics Version 23 for data management and analysis. Descriptive statistical techniques were used to summarise demographic characteristics of the respondents and their perceptions of community empowerment, governance, participation, leadership, accountability, institutional responsiveness, and sustainable development. The analysis focused on identifying patterns and trends in the survey data using frequencies, percentages, and summary distributions, thereby providing an overview of community perceptions across the study area.

Qualitative data obtained from the semi-structured interviews were analysed using thematic analysis. The analysis involved familiarisation with the interview transcripts, open coding, categorisation of related concepts, identification of recurring themes, and interpretation of the findings. This iterative process enabled the identification of key governance and empowerment issues emerging from participants' experiences.

The findings from the quantitative and qualitative components were integrated during interpretation using a convergent mixed-methods approach. The findings of the descriptive survey provided an overview of community perceptions, while qualitative evidence offered contextual explanations and deeper insights into the factors that influence community empowerment, governance, and sustainable development. The integration of the two datasets enhanced the credibility of the findings

³² Geoffrey Darnton, "Likert Scales and Questions: Uses and Abuses," *European Conference on Research Methodology for Business and Management Studies* 22, no. 1 (August 23, 2023): 44–49, <https://doi.org/10.34190/ecrm.22.1.1748>; Malcolm Koo and Shih-Wei Yang, "Likert-Type Scale," *Encyclopedia* 5, no. 1 (February 3, 2025): 18, <https://doi.org/10.3390/encyclopedia5010018>.

through methodological triangulation and facilitated a more comprehensive understanding of the study phenomenon.

Quality Assurance and Ethical Considerations

Several measures were implemented to enhance the rigour and trustworthiness of the study. Content validity was strengthened through expert review of the research instruments and alignment with established empowerment and governance literature. Methodological triangulation, achieved through the integration of quantitative and qualitative data, improved the credibility and confirmability of the findings. The dependability was strengthened by maintaining detailed documentation of all research procedures, facilitating transparency and potential replication.

Table 1: Summary of the Study Design, Sampling, Data Collection Tools and Data Analysis

Research Component	Description
Research design	A mixed-methods survey design was used, comprising a quantitative survey complemented by a qualitative confirmatory study.
Quantitative instrument	A structured Likert-type questionnaire with a five-point scale ranging from Strongly Disagree (1) to Strongly Agree (5) was used to measure community perceptions of empowerment and governance.
Likert scale	Strongly Disagree = 1; Disagree = 2; Neutral = 3; Agree = 4; Strongly Agree = 5.
Study area	Chimanimani Rural District, Zimbabwe.
Sampling techniques	Convenience sampling was used to select the study wards, while judgmental (purposive) sampling was used to identify respondents with relevant knowledge, including the District Administrator, Rural District Council executive members, and councillors.
Selected wards	Mhandarume (Ward 2), Chakohwa (Ward 3), Chimanimani Urban (Ward 15), Mhakwe (Ward 18), and Chikwakwa (Ward 19).
Ward population	Mhandarume (2,938); Chakohwa (4,492); Mhakwe (2,457); Chikwakwa (3,573); Chimanimani Urban (3,647).
Sample size	A total of 220 household respondents (44 respondents from each of the five wards).
Sample size determination	The sample size was calculated based on the required confidence level.
Qualitative sample	Sixteen (16) participants were interviewed to confirm and provide deeper explanations of the quantitative findings.
Data collection	Quantitative data were collected using a structured questionnaire, while qualitative data were collected through interviews.
Data capture	Data were entered into Microsoft Excel and subsequently imported into SPSS Version 23.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).
Integration strategy	Findings from the quantitative and qualitative components were integrated during interpretation using a convergent mixed-methods approach to compare and explain community perceptions of empowerment and governance
Descriptive analysis	Frequencies were calculated for empowerment and governance dimensions.

Qualitative analysis	Qualitative interview data were analysed using thematic content analysis to provide deeper interpretation and confirmation of the quantitative findings.
----------------------	--

Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the University of Venda. Chimanimani Rural District Council and relevant local authorities granted permission to conduct the research. Participation in the study was entirely voluntary, and all participants provided informed consent before data collection. Confidentiality and anonymity were maintained throughout the research process, and all data were securely stored and reported in aggregate form to protect participants' identities. Participants were also informed of their right to withdraw from the study at any stage without prejudice.

PRESENTATION OF FINDINGS

Demographic Characteristics of Respondents

A total of 220 household respondents participated in the quantitative survey, representing five wards within Chimanimani District. The demographic profile indicates that the respondents exhibited various socioeconomic characteristics in age, gender, educational attainment, occupation, and length of residence in their respective communities. This diversity improved the study's representativeness by capturing a broad range of experiences and perceptions regarding community empowerment, governance, and sustainable development.

The demographic composition of the sample is particularly important because community perceptions of governance and empowerment are often shaped by social position, educational attainment, livelihood opportunities, and previous interactions with local governance institutions. Consequently, the diversity of respondents strengthened the credibility of the findings by ensuring that multiple perspectives of the community were adequately represented.

The survey findings are presented descriptively to illustrate respondents' perceptions of community empowerment and governance across the study area. Subsequently, these descriptive patterns are interpreted alongside qualitative evidence obtained from key informant interviews, providing a richer understanding of governance processes and institutional factors shaping sustainable development in Chimanimani District.

Community Perceptions of Empowerment

The quantitative findings demonstrate that respondents generally recognized community empowerment as an important prerequisite for sustainable development. However, perceptions differed considerably regarding the extent to which existing governance arrangements actually facilitated meaningful empowerment. While respondents acknowledged improvements in access to development programmes, many expressed concerns about limited opportunities to participate in the planning, implementation, and monitoring of development initiatives.

The survey findings indicate that the respondents generally considered community empowerment as a fundamental prerequisite for sustainable development. Although many respondents acknowledged improvements in access to development programmes, perceptions suggested that opportunities for meaningful participation in planning, implementation, and monitoring remained limited in several communities. Respondents associated empowerment not only with access to development resources but also with opportunities to influence local decision-making, participate in leadership structures, access information, and contribute to determining community development priorities.

These survey findings were reinforced by the qualitative interviews, which consistently highlighted empowerment as a multidimensional process extending beyond material assistance. Participants emphasised that sustainable empowerment is achieved when communities are actively involved throughout the development process rather than merely consulted after key decisions have been made.

One traditional leader explained:

"Development becomes sustainable when people are involved from the beginning. Communities should not simply be informed about projects after decisions have already been made."

Similarly, a representative from a community-based organization observed that although development interventions had increased following Cyclone Idai, community participation often remained limited to consultation rather than genuine collaboration. These findings reinforce Empowerment Theory by demonstrating that empowerment is fundamentally relational and institutional rather than merely economic. Communities perceive themselves as empowered when they exercise meaningful influence over governance processes rather than simply receiving externally designed interventions.

Governance and Community Participation

Governance emerged as one of the strongest determinants of perceived community empowerment. Respondents generally agreed that transparent leadership, accountability, and inclusive participation were essential to improve development outcomes. Nevertheless, many respondents expressed dissatisfaction with the extent to which existing governance structures allowed ordinary citizens to influence local decision-making.

The survey findings suggest that perceptions of governance varied across the study area, particularly regarding leadership selection, transparency, accountability, and opportunities for participation. Respondents generally recognised that transparent and inclusive governance contributes positively to community empowerment and sustainable development. At the same time, many perceived existing governance structures as providing limited opportunities for ordinary citizens to influence local decision-making, thereby reducing confidence in development processes.

Qualitative interviews revealed three recurring governance challenges. First, participants perceived political considerations to influence leadership selection and development priorities. Second, respondents indicated that opportunities to participate in planning meetings were often limited to selected community representatives rather than the wider population. Third, participants highlighted inadequate feedback mechanisms, resulting in uncertainty about how community views were incorporated into final development decisions. These governance challenges were consistently reflected in the interviews. For example, one councillor remarked:

"People are invited to meetings, but many decisions have already been taken before the consultations begin."

Similarly, another participant explained:

"Participation should not end with attending meetings. Communities should be involved throughout planning, implementation and evaluation."

These findings suggest that formal participatory structures alone are not sufficient to strengthen community empowerment. Effective participation requires institutional arrangements that enable citizens to exercise genuine influence throughout the development cycle.

Leadership, Accountability and Institutional Trust

Leadership emerged as a critical factor shaping perceptions of governance effectiveness. Respondents consistently associated effective leadership with transparency, accountability, fairness, and responsiveness to community priorities. On the contrary, perceptions of political interference, unequal opportunities for leadership, and weak accountability reduced trust in local governance institutions.

Interview participants emphasized that leadership legitimacy depended not only on formal appointment procedures but also on continuous engagement with community members. A traditional leader observed:

"Leadership is about listening to the people. Once leaders stop consulting communities, development projects lose local ownership."

These findings indicate that institutional trust develops through repeated interactions between communities and governance institutions. Therefore, transparent communication, regular consultation, and accountability mechanisms become essential components of community empowerment.

Consistent with international literature on participatory governance, the findings demonstrate that institutional legitimacy is strengthened when leadership is perceived as inclusive, accountable, and responsive to community priorities rather than political interests.

Capacity Development as an Enabler of Empowerment

Respondents strongly supported investments in literacy, numeracy, vocational education, leadership development, and entrepreneurship training as mechanisms to strengthen community empowerment. This is consistent with Kahl, who argues that communities view knowledge and skills as essential capabilities that enable meaningful participation in governance rather than merely improving employment opportunities.³³

Qualitative interviews also revealed that limited education prevented many community members from participating confidently in planning meetings, engaging with technical information, and holding public officials accountable. An NGO representative explained:

"Training communities is just as important as funding projects because people cannot participate effectively if they lack information or confidence."

This finding extends Empowerment Theory by demonstrating that human capital development not only strengthens livelihoods. In addition, democratic participation and institutional accountability is also strengthened. This supports broader evidence that highlights education and leadership development as prerequisites for effective citizen participation.

Community Perceptions of NGO Engagement

Respondents generally acknowledged the important contribution of non-governmental organizations to post-disaster recovery and rural development. Nevertheless, perceptions regarding NGO engagement varied considerably across wards. Although many respondents appreciated improvements in infrastructure and livelihoods, others believed that project planning often occurred without adequate consultation of beneficiary communities. Interview participants expressed concern that some development initiatives reflected donor priorities rather than locally identified needs. Limited coordination among NGOs, government departments, and traditional leadership structures was also identified as a significant governance challenge. These findings suggest that the effectiveness of development partners depends not only on financial investment but also on the quality of institutional collaboration and the extent to which communities participate throughout the project cycle.

Overall, the integration of the survey and interview findings demonstrates a high degree of convergence between the two sources of evidence. While the survey identified broad patterns in community perceptions about empowerment and governance, the qualitative interviews provided contextual explanations that clarified how institutional practices, leadership dynamics, stakeholder engagement, and capacity development shaped these perceptions. The complementary nature of the two datasets strengthened the interpretation of the findings and provided a comprehensive understanding of community empowerment and sustainable development in Chimanimani District.

DISCUSSION

The findings demonstrate that sustainable rural development depends on the interaction between community empowerment and governance, rather than on either dimension operating independently. Although respondents recognized the importance of development investments, they consistently argued that genuine empowerment requires meaningful participation in governance processes, transparent leadership, institutional accountability, and equitable opportunities to influence development priorities.

³³ Daniel Kahl, "The Role of Community Leadership Development Programs for Citizen Empowerment and Community Resiliency," 2023, 101–13, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-35744-2_6.

These findings support the central proposition of Empowerment Theory that empowerment involves expanding people's agency and institutional influence rather than simply increasing access to material resources.

The results further reinforce the Sustainable Livelihoods Approach by illustrating that livelihood outcomes are shaped not only by access to physical or financial assets but also by governance systems that determine how these assets are distributed, managed, and sustained. The survey findings, supported by qualitative evidence, indicate that communities that perceive higher levels of participation and institutional trust also expressed greater confidence in local development processes, suggesting that governance quality mediates the relationship between empowerment and sustainable development. The findings are consistent with previous studies conducted in Zimbabwe and elsewhere in Sub-Saharan Africa, which demonstrate that decentralization reforms frequently establish formal participatory structures without necessarily transferring meaningful decision-making authority to local communities. Similar observations have been reported by various scholars, all of whom concluded that participatory governance remains constrained by political influence, institutional fragmentation, and limited accountability.³⁴ However, the present study extends this literature by demonstrating that governance quality mediates the relationship between community capabilities and sustainable development outcomes. Rather than treating empowerment, governance, and poverty reduction as separate phenomena, the findings reveal that these dimensions are mutually reinforcing and should be analyzed as components of an integrated rural development system.

An important contribution of this study lies in its identification of human capital development as a governance intervention rather than solely an educational strategy. Respondents consistently associated literacy, numeracy, vocational skills, and leadership development with greater confidence in participating in governance processes, engaging with public institutions, and influencing development decisions. This finding broadens conventional interpretations of empowerment by demonstrating that investments in education simultaneously strengthen democratic participation, institutional accountability, and sustainable livelihoods.

The study also highlights the importance of collaborative governance that involves local authorities, traditional leadership institutions, civil society organizations, and community members. Evidence from the findings of the descriptive survey and qualitative interviews indicates that fragmented institutional coordination weakens the effectiveness of development, while inclusive partnerships strengthen local ownership, accountability, and long-term sustainability. These findings support contemporary governance scholarship that advocates networked and collaborative approaches to rural development rather than isolated institutional interventions.

Collectively, the findings demonstrate that achieving Sustainable Development Goal 1 requires more than financial investment or infrastructure development. Sustainable poverty reduction depends fundamentally on governance systems that empower communities to participate meaningfully in decisions that affect their livelihoods, strengthen institutional accountability, and expand access to opportunities that improve resilience and long-term development.

IMPLICATIONS OF THE STUDY

Theoretical Implications

This study makes several important contributions to the literature on community empowerment, governance, and sustainable development. First, it advances Empowerment Theory by demonstrating that empowerment should be conceptualized as an institutional and relational process rather than merely an individual or economic outcome. While previous studies have frequently associated empowerment with access to financial resources, livelihood opportunities, or service delivery, the findings indicate that sustainable empowerment depends equally on governance systems that facilitate meaningful participation, institutional accountability, leadership legitimacy, and equitable representation.

³⁴ Nyama and Mukwada, "Role of Citizen Participation in Local Development Planning in Murewa District"; Marango, Chitongo, and Musarandega, "Collective Action For Rural Development Insights From Chimanimani Rural District Of Zimbabwe"; K. Dube, "A Review of the Strategies Used by Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs) Use to Reduce Vulnerability to Poverty in Zimbabwe," *African Journal of Social Work* 11, no. 6 (2021): 371–78.

Therefore, community empowerment emerges as a dynamic interaction between individual capabilities and institutional responsiveness.

Second, the study extends the Sustainable Livelihoods Approach by demonstrating that governance functions as an institutional mechanism through which livelihood assets are transformed into sustainable development outcomes. Access to human, financial, physical, natural, and social capital alone does not guarantee better livelihoods. Instead, the quality of governance determines whether these assets are distributed equitably, transparently and used effectively to strengthen community resilience and reduce poverty. This finding reinforces the importance of integrating governance considerations into research on sustainable livelihoods.

Third, the study contributes to participatory governance scholarship by proposing an integrated conceptual framework linking community capabilities, governance quality, institutional accountability, stakeholder participation, and sustainable development outcomes. Unlike many existing studies that examine these constructs independently, the present study demonstrates that empowerment, governance, and poverty reduction are mutually reinforcing dimensions of a single rural development system. This integrated perspective provides a stronger analytical foundation for future research that examines community-led development within decentralizing governance systems.

Empirical Contributions

The study contributes new empirical evidence from Chimanimani District, a rural and disaster-prone area that has received extensive governmental and non-governmental development interventions after Cyclone Idai. Although decentralization, community participation, and poverty reduction have received increasing scholarly attention in Zimbabwe, relatively few studies have examined how rural communities themselves perceive the interactions between empowerment, governance, and sustainable development. By integrating household survey data with qualitative evidence from governance actors and community leaders, the study provides a comprehensive understanding of the institutional factors that facilitate or constrain community empowerment.

The findings demonstrate that communities consistently associate sustainable development with transparent governance, inclusive participation, accountable leadership, and opportunities to influence development decisions. At the same time, respondents identified political interference, limited consultation, weak institutional coordination, and inadequate capacity development as significant barriers to community empowerment. These findings provide context-specific evidence that enriches the growing body of literature on participatory rural development within Zimbabwe and the broader Sub-Saharan African region.

Methodological Contributions

Methodologically, this study demonstrates the value of a convergent mixed-methods approach for examining complex relationships between community empowerment, governance, and sustainable development. The integration of descriptive survey findings with qualitative interview data enabled the study to identify broad patterns in community perceptions while providing contextual explanations of the institutional and social processes shaping those perceptions. The complementary use of quantitative and qualitative evidence strengthened the credibility and richness of the findings through methodological triangulation. This integrated approach provides a useful framework for future studies investigating governance and community empowerment within rural development contexts.

Policy and Practice Implications

The findings have significant implications for governments, local authorities, development agencies, civil society organizations, and traditional leadership institutions. First, rural development policies should move beyond infrastructure provision and income-generating initiatives by explicitly incorporating community empowerment into governance reforms. Development interventions should prioritize meaningful citizen participation throughout project identification, planning, implementation, monitoring, and evaluation rather than limiting communities to consultative roles.

Second, local authorities should strengthen institutional transparency and accountability by establishing regular mechanisms for communities to receive feedback on development decisions, resource allocation, and project implementation. Such mechanisms would strengthen public trust and improve institutional legitimacy. Third, capacity development should become a central component of rural development policy. Investments in literacy, vocational education, leadership development, civic education, and digital literacy would strengthen communities' ability to engage effectively with governance institutions and participate confidently in local decision-making processes. Fourth, stronger institutional coordination among Rural District Councils, government departments, traditional leadership institutions, civil society organizations, and development partners is essential to reducing duplication, improving resource utilization, and ensuring that development initiatives respond to locally identified priorities. Collaborative governance frameworks should therefore be institutionalized within district development planning processes.

Finally, development partners should adopt more participatory implementation approaches that recognise communities as co-producers of development rather than beneficiaries of externally designed projects. Such approaches would strengthen local ownership, improve sustainability, and increase the long-term effectiveness of poverty reduction interventions.

Limitations of the Study

Although this study provides important insights into the relationship between community empowerment, governance, and sustainable development, several limitations should be acknowledged. The research was conducted within five wards of Chimanimani District and therefore reflects the institutional and socioeconomic realities of a specific rural context. While the findings provide valuable analytical insights that may be transferable to comparable settings, they should not be interpreted as statistically representative of all rural districts in Zimbabwe.

The study was based primarily on the perceptions respondents on governance and empowerment. Although perceptions constitute an important dimension of governance research, they may be influenced by individual experiences, expectations, and local political dynamics. The integration of descriptive survey findings with qualitative interview evidence helped minimise this limitation by enabling triangulation across multiple sources of evidence. The cross-sectional design also limits the ability to examine how governance and empowerment evolve. Longitudinal research would provide valuable insights into the long-term effects of decentralization reforms, community capacity development, and institutional change on sustainable rural development.

Future research should extend this work by conducting comparative studies in multiple districts in Zimbabwe and other Sub-Saharan African countries. Comparative analyses would improve understanding of how differing governance systems, institutional capacities, and development contexts influence community empowerment and sustainable development outcomes. Longitudinal and participatory action research designs would further enhance understanding of the dynamic processes through which empowerment develops over time.

CONCLUSION

This study has examined the relationship between community empowerment, governance, and sustainable development in Chimanimani District, Zimbabwe, within the broader context of decentralization and poverty reduction. The findings demonstrate that achieving sustainable rural development requires substantially more than financial investment, infrastructure development, or externally driven development programmes. Instead, sustainable development depends fundamentally on governance systems that empower communities to participate meaningfully in decisions affecting their livelihoods, strengthen institutional accountability, and create opportunities for equitable participation in local development.

The evidence indicates that transparent leadership, inclusive governance, institutional accountability, community participation, and capacity development constitute mutually reinforcing foundations for sustainable development. On the contrary, political interference, limited consultation, fragmented institutional coordination, and inadequate investment in human capabilities undermine both

the empowerment of the community and the long-term sustainability of development interventions. The study prioritizes material investment while overlooking governance quality and community agency. Instead, it shows that sustainable poverty reduction emerges through dynamic interactions among empowered citizens, responsive institutions, collaborative governance, and equitable access to livelihood opportunities.

A major contribution of this research is the development of an integrated governance framework that links community capabilities, participatory governance, institutional accountability, adaptive monitoring, equitable resource allocation, and sustainable livelihood outcomes. This framework provides a practical model to strengthen community-led development and accelerate progress towards Sustainable Development Goal 1 within Zimbabwe and comparable rural contexts across Sub-Saharan Africa.

Ultimately, the study argues that community empowerment should no longer be viewed as a supplementary component of rural development policy but rather as the institutional foundation upon which sustainable development, resilient livelihoods, and inclusive governance are built. Strengthening community agency, improving governance quality, and fostering collaborative partnerships among governments, traditional institutions, civil society organizations, and local communities will be essential for achieving enduring and inclusive rural transformation.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Aat, Mohammad Zaki Bin Che, and Faridah Jaafar. "Addressing Poverty Through Sustainable Development Goal 1: Progress, Challenges, and Opportunities." *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science* IX, no. IV (2025): 1690–95. <https://doi.org/10.47772/IJRISS.2025.90400125>.
- Adnan, A. S., N. Ibrahim, and R. s/o T. Maheswaran. "Empowering Local Governments for Sustainable Development: Unleashing Capacity for Community Governance." *European Journal of Applied Sciences* 17, no. 2 (2025): 50–55.
- Chiimba, Everjoy Grace, and Julia Verne. "Disaster Communication beyond the State? Community Organisations, Informal Information Flows and the Mediation of (Mis)Trust before and after Cyclone Idai in Zimbabwe." *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction* 76 (June 2022): 103012. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2022.103012>.
- Chisango, S., F. Manuere, and Z. Muranda. "Local Authorities' Performance in Zimbabwe: A Systematic Review of Related Literature." *International Journal of Research in Commerce and Management Studies* 5, no. 2 (2023): 41–53.
- Chitongo, L., J. Tagarirora, B. Chazovachii, and T. Marango. "Gendered Impacts of Climate Change in Africa: The Case of Cyclone Idai, Chimanimani, Zimbabwe." *The Fountain Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies* 3, no. 1 (2019): 30–44.
- Chitongo, Leonard, Vincent Chenzi, and Caitlin Blaser Mapitsa. "Climate Governance and Resilience Action: The Southern African Context," 83–94, 2024. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-73600-1_6.
- Darnton, Geoffrey. "Likert Scales and Questions: Uses and Abuses." *European Conference on Research Methodology for Business and Management Studies* 22, no. 1 (August 23, 2023): 44–49. <https://doi.org/10.34190/ecrm.22.1.1748>.
- Dinbabo, Mulugeta. "Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and Policy Frameworks for Development in Africa." *New Agenda* 96 (2025). <https://doi.org/10.14426/na.v96i1.2518>.
- Dube, C., L. Mnguni, and A. Tschudin. "Peacebuilding through Public Participation Mechanisms in Local Government: The Case of Mbizana Local Municipality, South Africa." *Journal of Illicit Economies and Development*, 2019.
- Dube, K. "A Review of the Strategies Used by Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs) to Reduce Vulnerability to Poverty in Zimbabwe." *African Journal of Social Work* 11, no. 6 (2021): 371–78.
- Dushkova, Diana, and Olga Ivlieva. "Empowering Communities to Act for a Change: A Review of the Community Empowerment Programs towards Sustainability and Resilience." *Sustainability* 16,

- no. 19 (October 9, 2024): 8700. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16198700>.
- Food and Nutrition Council. "Chimanimani District Food and Nutrition Security Profile," 2022.
- Jaure R, Makura A. H, and Alexander G. "Towards a Democratised Method of Data Collection through the Adoption and Adaptation of the Shona Concept of Dare." *African Journal of Social Work* 12, no. 4 (2022): 173–78.
- Kabonga, Itai. "NGOs and Poverty Reduction in Zimbabwe: Challenges and the Way Forward." *SN Social Sciences* 3, no. 6 (May 27, 2023): 90. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43545-023-00678-8>.
- Kahl, Daniel. "The Role of Community Leadership Development Programs for Citizen Empowerment and Community Resiliency," 101–13, 2023. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-35744-2_6.
- Kala, D., and J. Tiwari. "Community Engagement for Inclusion." *The International Journal of Indian Psychology*, 2025, 13–2.
- Karki, Sabin. "Sustainable Livelihood Framework: Monitoring and Evaluation." *International Journal of Social Sciences and Management* 8, no. 1 (January 25, 2021): 266–71. <https://doi.org/10.3126/ijssm.v8i1.34399>.
- Karunarathne, R. A., and W. M. W. Shyamali. "Role of Community Participatory Approach in Community Development." *The Academic* 3, no. 1 (2025): 801.
- Koo, Malcolm, and Shih-Wei Yang. "Likert-Type Scale." *Encyclopedia* 5, no. 1 (February 3, 2025): 18. <https://doi.org/10.3390/encyclopedia5010018>.
- Marango, Timothy. "Level of Social Capital for Rural Development in Chimanimani District of Zimbabwe." University of Venda, 2011.
- Marango, Timothy, Leonard Chitongo, and Happwell Musarandega. "Collective Action For Rural Development Insights From Chimanimani Rural District Of Zimbabwe." *Ngenani: The Zimbabwe Ezekiel Guti Journal of Community Engagement and Societal Transformations*, October 14, 2024, 21–42. <https://doi.org/10.71458/zmzcc345>.
- Marumahoko, Sylvester. "Local Government and the Challenges of Service Delivery: Zimbabwe in the Spotlight." *Journal of Public Administration, Finance and Law* 31 (2024): 219–37. <https://doi.org/10.47743/jopaf-2024-31-17>.
- Marvin, T. "Social Inclusion and Empowerment through Community Development." *Research and Reviews: Journal of Social Sciences* 10, no. 4 (2024): 003.
- Masuku, Sikanyiso, and Tafadzwa Macheke. "Policy Making and Governance Structures in Zimbabwe: Examining Their Efficacy as a Conduit to Equitable Participation (Inclusion) and Social Justice for Rural Youths." *Cogent Social Sciences* 7, no. 1 (January 1, 2021). <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311886.2020.1855742>.
- Mavee, S. E. A. "The Relevance of Civil Society Participation in Democratic Governance." *Administratio Publica* 30, no. 3 (2022).
- Mazorodze, W. "Civil Society Organisations and Community Partnership for Sustainable Livelihoods and Development in Ward 7 of Chivi District." In *Climate Change and Socio-Political Violence in Sub-Saharan Africa in the Anthropocene (The Anthropocene: Politik—Economics—Society—Science)*, edited by J. C. K. Kiyala and N. Chivasa, Vol. 37. Masvingo Province, Zimbabwe: Springer, 2024.
- Mbatha, M. W., H. Mnguni, and M. A. Mubecua. "Subsistence Farming as a Sustainable Livelihood Approach for Rural Communities in South Africa." *African Journal of Development Studies* 11, no. 3 (2021): 55–75.
- Mironga, Anesu, and Christopher Namilonga. "The Impact of Zimbabwe's Constitutionalisation of Local Governance on Strengthening Citizen Participation and Good Governance." *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications (IJSRP)* 11, no. 10 (October 12, 2021): 357–64. <https://doi.org/10.29322/IJSRP.11.10.2021.p11841>.
- Mouchrek, Najla, and Mark Benson. "The Theory of Integrated Empowerment in the Transition to Adulthood: Concepts and Measures." *Frontiers in Sociology* 8 (April 17, 2023). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fsoc.2023.893898>.
- Mustanir, Ahmad, Kamaruddin Sellang, A. Astinah Adnan, and Sandi Lubis. "Tracing the Evolution of Community Empowerment Models in Development Planning." *Jurnal Ilmu Sosial Dan Ilmu*

- Politik* 28, no. 3 (July 31, 2025): 400. <https://doi.org/10.22146/jsp.99202>.
- Mutoya, L. "Management of Local Authorities in Zimbabwe: What Needs to Be Done to Improve the Situation?" *International Journal of Multidisciplinary and Current Educational Research* 3, no. 1 (2020): 17–25.
- Nyama, Vellim, and Geoffrey Mukwada. "Role of Citizen Participation in Local Development Planning in Murewa District." *South African Geographical Journal* 105, no. 3 (July 3, 2023): 329–45. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03736245.2022.2129765>.
- Olaore, R. A. *The Role of Civil Society Organizations in Community Development [Keynote Speech, Community Development Program, Aduragbemi Foundation Award Ceremony]*. Iba, Osun State, Nigeria, 2024.
- Poonam, D., and C. Sharma. "Empowering Women for Sustainable Development: Analysis of Policies and Practices in Developing Nations." *International Journal of Advanced Research in Commerce, Management & Social Science (IJARCMSS)* 7, no. 3 (2024): 113–18.
- Rainboth, K. "Empowering Communities: Strategies for Sustainable Development." *Journal of Political Science & Public Affairs* 11, no. 3 (2023): 32.
- Sabbi, Matthew, Dieter Neubert, and Lamine Doumbia. "People and Municipalities: Decentralisation and the Articulation of Agency in Local Political Arenas in Africa." *Canadian Journal of African Studies / Revue Canadienne Des Études Africaines* 58, no. 2 (May 3, 2024): 243–61. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00083968.2024.2374572>.
- Thusi, X., and M. Mashabela. "The Role of Civil Society Organizations in Promoting Public Accountability: The Perspective of the South African Local Government." *IAHRW International Journal of Social Sciences Review* 11, no. 2 (2023): 175–80.
- Tjale, Malose Moses, Shadreck Muchaku, Grey Magaiza, Durga Dass Sharma, Gopal Krishan Sharma, Yashwant Singh Negi, and Marizvikuru Mwale. "Review of Community Development Initiatives for Poverty Reduction in Southern Africa." *Interdisciplinary Journal of Rural and Community Studies* 6 (August 7, 2024): 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.38140/ijrcs-2024.vol6.12>.
- United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs. *Goal 1: End Poverty in All Its Forms Everywhere: United Nations SDG 1 Targets and Indicators*. New York, NY: United Nations, 2025.
- Vyas-Doorgapersad, Shikha. "Overview of the Implementation of Sustainable Development Goal One in South Africa." *International Journal of Research in Business and Social Science (2147- 4478)* 11, no. 6 (September 12, 2022): 626–34. <https://doi.org/10.20525/ijrbs.v11i6.1889>.
- Wanjiku Ngunjiri, Margaret. *Citizen Participation in Local Governance in Africa: A Selection of Case Studies*. International Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance (International IDEA), 2023. <https://doi.org/10.31752/idea.2023.26>.
- Zahari, H., M. Muhaimin, F. Abas, and N. Diyana. "The Impact of Community Empowerment Programs on Achieving Sustainable Development Goals in Local Communities." *International Journal of Social Science and Humanity* 1, no. 3 (2025).
- Zimbabwe Lawyers for Human Rights. "The Operating Space of Civil Society Organisations in Zimbabwe: A Critical Analysis of the Proposed Regulation of Civil Society," 2021.
- Zimmerman, Marc A. "Empowerment Theory." In *Handbook of Community Psychology*, 43–63. Boston, MA: Springer US, 2000. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4615-4193-6_2.

ABOUT AUTHORS

Dr Timothy Marango is a teacher, researcher, consultant and manager, currently serving as Research Prioritisation, Control and Coordination Manager at the Research Council of Zimbabwe. He has over 20 years of experience in rural development programming, teaching and research across SADC countries. His research interests include rural and urban development, human development, environment and climate change, agriculture, indigenous knowledge systems, social capital, social protection, gender and governance. He has published extensively in peer-reviewed academic journals and contributes to national research programming, coordination, monitoring and evaluation, and the identification of demand-driven research priorities. He holds qualifications in Education, Sociology and Rural

Development, including a PhD in Rural Development. He can be contacted at marangotim@hotmail.com.

Prof. Joseph Francis is Professor and Director of the Institute for Rural Development at the University of Venda, South Africa, with over 25 years of distinguished academic, research and leadership experience. He is an accomplished interdisciplinary scholar whose expertise encompasses Rural Sociology, Rural and Development Studies, governance, public participation, community development, Indigenous Knowledge Systems, livelihoods, social capital, gender, youth, environmental sustainability and social transformation. His scholarly record includes extensive peer-reviewed publications, postgraduate supervision, research leadership and community-engaged scholarship. Prof Francis brings substantial methodological expertise in qualitative, quantitative, mixed-methods and participatory research, positioning him strongly as an external examiner of doctoral research in Sociology and related disciplines.

Leonard Chitongo (PhD) is a Senior Lecturer in Human Geography in the Department of Biological and Environmental Sciences at Walter Sisulu University, South Africa. His research focuses on human and social development, socio-economic transformation, rural and urban resilience, livelihoods, housing, and public policy. His work examines how communities, households and institutions respond to socio-economic, environmental and spatial challenges, particularly within the Global South. He has published extensively in peer-reviewed journals and contributes to research, teaching and postgraduate supervision in human geography and related fields. His interdisciplinary research interests also encompass sustainable development, governance, climate adaptation and community resilience. He can be contacted at vachitongo@gmail.com.