E-Journal of Humanities, Arts and Social Sciences (EHASS)
ISSN – Online 2720-7722 | Print 2821-8949
Volume 7 Issue 9 2026 pp 2618 - 2628
Available online at: https://noyam.org/journals/ehass/
DOI: https://doi.org/10.38159/ehass.20267910

Check for updates

Transforming Constitutional imperatives into administrative practices: Failures in Public Administration and Legal Accountability in Rural Water Governance

Lubabalo Mjadu[1] & Bane Vuntu[2]

[1] University of Fort Hare, Eastern Cape, South Africa.
[2] University of South Africa, Pretoria, South Africa.

ABSTRACT

Section 27 of the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 1996 guarantees the right to sufficient water; however, rural populations still face widespread water insecurity. This article claims that persistent public administration failures block the constitutional right to water in rural South Africa, not a lack of legal foundation. The gap between constitutional responsibility and lived reality shows institutional inadequacies in the state's water governance framework. The paper uses an interdisciplinary socio-legal and public administration approach to evaluate how administrative incompetence, fragmented intergovernmental connections, failing infrastructure, and poor accountability mechanisms hinder rural water service delivery. It questions the marginalisation of customary governing traditions in formal administrative systems despite constitutional legal plurality. The paper shows that constitutional rights cannot be meaningfully achieved without competent, responsive, and accountable administrative institutions, using transformative constitutionalism, vulnerability theory, legal pluralism, and public administration implementation theory. The paper found that administrative dysfunction has reduced rural South Africans' access to water to a symbolic guarantee. Governance-oriented changes attempt to boost institutional capacity, accountability, and constitutional compliance in administrative practice. The paper contributes to socio-economic rights literature by reframing public management as the key to constitutional commitments.

Keywords: Constitutional Water Right, Governance, Public Administration, Administrative ability, Intergovernmental relations

INTRODUCTION

The right to enough water in South Africa is constitutionally established, grounded in the post-apartheid commitment to fairness and social justice. Section 27 of the Constitution stipulates that all individuals have the right to sufficient water; nonetheless, notable inequalities in water availability remain, especially in rural regions.[1] Despite the creation of a legal framework intended for universal access, rural communities often have significant water shortages and inadequate service delivery, which

[1] N. Gabru, "Some Comments on Water Rights in South Africa," *Potchefstroom Electronic Law Journal/Potchefstroomse Elektroniese Regsblad* 8, no. 1 (2005).

CORRESPONDENCE – Lubabalo Mjadu **Email:** Email: lmjadu@ufh.ac.za
PUBLICATION HISTORY - Received: 31st January, 2026 | Accepted: 11th August, 2026 | Published: 28th October, 2026.
TO CITE THIS ARTICLE – Mjadu, Lubabalo and Bane Vuntu. "Transforming Constitutional imperatives into administrative practices: Failures in Public Administration and Legal Accountability in Rural Water Governance." *E-Journal of Humanities, Arts and Social Sciences* 7, no.9 (2026): 2618 - 2628. https://doi.org/10.38159/ehass.20267910
COPYRIGHT AND LICENSING - © 2026 The Author(s). Published and Maintained by Noyam Journals.
This is an open access article under the CCBY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

warrants an examination of the governance mechanisms involved in these deficiencies.[2] This article seeks to contextualise the persistent issues of rural water governance in South Africa as a deficiency in public administration. The convergence of legal rights and administrative effectiveness exposes a complicated scenario in which, despite strong constitutional protections, actual results often do not meet expectations. The analysis uses diverse case studies and empirical evidence, concentrating on rural municipalities where governance frameworks have exhibited disparities and inefficiencies in water distribution.[3] This study aims to address key enquiries on the effectiveness of existing administrative processes and possible strategies to improve water governance in rural areas. Subsequent to the conclusion of apartheid, South Africa's democratic administration included the right to adequate water in its constitutional framework, establishing a substantial legal foundation for the pursuit of justice for water. Policies post-1994 reflect a commitment to realising universal access to water. Nonetheless, this legal right frequently occurs within an environment laden with problems, such as inequitable resource allocation and inadequate infrastructure.[4] Reports indicate that despite constitutional obligations and legal structures, a substantial proportion of rural inhabitants in South Africa lack sufficient access to water. Recent evaluations reveal that around 19% of rural populations lack reliable water sources, and essential infrastructure frequently remains inadequately developed or poorly maintained.[5] This inconsistency prompts enquiries into the accountability of local government entities responsible for service provision. The primary obstacles to water provision in rural South Africa are governance and administrative deficiencies. Inadequate financial resources, poor governance structures, and administrative inefficiencies have intensified water scarcity challenges.[6] The disparity between the constitutional right to water and its actual implementation underscores the need for improved governance practices and accountability mechanisms among local authorities.[7]

South Africa's rural water crisis cannot be fully understood without acknowledging the historical legacy of apartheid spatial planning and systematic underdevelopment. Under apartheid governance, rural communities, particularly those located in former homelands and Bantustan regions, were deliberately excluded from meaningful infrastructural investment and public service development. Access to clean water, sanitation, and reliable municipal infrastructure was racially structured in a manner that privileged urban white communities while marginalising black rural populations. The democratic constitutional order inherited deeply unequal systems of resource allocation that continue to shape patterns of water insecurity in rural South Africa. The persistence of water inequality in rural provinces such as the Eastern Cape, Limpopo, and KwaZulu-Natal reflects the enduring effects of historical neglect combined with contemporary governance failures. Although the post-apartheid constitutional framework introduced transformative commitments aimed at correcting historical injustice, implementation deficits within public administration continue to undermine these constitutional objectives. Consequently, rural communities remain disproportionately vulnerable to water shortages, collapsing infrastructure, and inconsistent service delivery despite the existence of extensive constitutional and legislative protections.

The primary objective of this research is to analyse the correlation between South Africa's constitutional right to water and its practical execution in rural public administration. It examines critical administrative deficiencies that sustain water insecurity, investigates methods to enhance legal

---

[2] Zenande Mbana and Ndidzulafhi Innocent Sinthumule, "What Is There to Drink? Water (in) Justice in the Democratic South Africa," *Frontiers in Water* 6 (2024): 1354477.

[3] Nkeka P Tseole, Tinashe Innocent Mutero, and Moses John Chimbari, "Exploring the Key Factors Influencing Water Scarcity for Domestic Use in Rural Communities of UMkhanyakude District, KwaZulu-Natal Province, South Africa: A Qualitative Analysis," 2024; Thato Masiangoako, Kelebogile Khunou, and Alana Potter, "Fighting for Water in South Africa: Public Participation, Water Rights Claiming and Strengthening Governance," *H2Open Journal* 5, no. 1 (2022): 98–114.

[4] John Moyo Majahana et al., "Stakeholders' Perceptions on the Formulation of Climate Change Adaptation Policy and Governance: A Case of the Water Sector in the Raymond Mhlaba and Ngqushwa Local Municipalities, South Africa," *Water* 17, no. 7 (2025): 944.

[5] Sinazo Sixolisiwe Tshona, Sithenkosi Lungisa, and Luluto Mgweba, "Thirsting for Solutions: Unpacking Inadequate Water Provision in Rural Communities," *Africa's Public Service Delivery and Performance Review* 13, no. 1 (2025): 873.

[6] Peter Morepje Nchabeleng, "Examining the Challenges Faced in Service Delivery by South African Rural Communities after 28 Years of Democratic Government Administration: The Case of Sekhukhune Municipal Areas-Limpopo Province," *E-Journal of Humanities Arts and Social Sciences* 6, no. 7 (2025): 983–96.

[7] Jephias Mapuva, "An Assessment of Law and Practice of the Right to Water: Evidence from Zimbabwe," *The African Review* 52, no. 1–2 (2024): 166–81.

accountability mechanisms for improved water governance, and evaluates the impact of community involvement on administrative practices. The article contends that ongoing water access issues in rural regions arise not only from resource limitations but also from systemic bureaucratic inefficiencies and inadequate accountability frameworks. The study assesses the efficacy of existing legal and administrative frameworks through qualitative data obtained from case studies, interviews, and relevant literature, while recommending specific governance reforms. The effective realisation of the right to water requires a comprehensive overhaul of administrative practices, improved legal accountability, and ongoing community mobilisation to ensure responsive and effective action from local authorities.

This article is informed by transformative constitutionalism, which emphasises the need to move beyond formal legal recognition towards substantive social transformation through responsive governance and institutional accountability. Transformative constitutionalism requires the state not only to recognise socio-economic rights in principle but also to establish capable administrative structures capable of realising those rights in practice. Within the context of rural water governance, this theoretical approach highlights the relationship between constitutional obligations and effective public administration. The article also draws on public administration implementation theory, which explains how policy objectives frequently fail due to bureaucratic inefficiency, institutional fragmentation, weak accountability systems, and poor coordination among state actors. The implementation gap between constitutional commitments and administrative practice demonstrates that the realisation of socio-economic rights depends heavily on the institutional capacity of the state. In this regard, the failure of water governance in rural South Africa reflects a broader governance crisis rather than merely a legal deficiency.

METHODOLOGY

This study adopted a qualitative, desktop-based socio-legal research methodology and relied exclusively on publicly available secondary sources. The research involved a systematic review of constitutional provisions, legislation, policy documents, government reports, academic literature, and relevant Constitutional Court jurisprudence relating to the right to water and water governance in South Africa. The qualitative approach was considered appropriate because the study sought to analyse legal norms, governance structures, institutional accountability mechanisms, and implementation challenges rather than generate or analyse quantitative data. Through a socio-legal framework, the study integrated constitutional and administrative law principles with public administration and governance theories to evaluate the effectiveness of institutions responsible for water service delivery, particularly within rural municipalities. The literature and legal sources reviewed were selected based on their relevance to the constitutional right to water, water governance, municipal service delivery, accountability mechanisms, and socio-economic rights. Priority was given to authoritative sources, including constitutional provisions, statutes, judicial decisions, government publications, policy frameworks, peer-reviewed journal articles, books, and reports produced by recognised public institutions and research organisations. The study analysed these sources to assess the extent to which legal obligations relating to water access had been translated into effective administrative practice and service delivery outcomes. Attention was given to the relationship between constitutional commitments, governance systems, institutional capacity, and accountability mechanisms within the water sector.

The methodology further enabled a critical examination of broader debates concerning socio-economic rights, transformative constitutionalism, administrative justice, and good governance within South Africa's democratic framework. Although the study did not incorporate primary empirical data, interviews, fieldwork, or municipal case studies, the desktop-based approach was considered appropriate to examine the legal, institutional, and structural dimensions of water rights governance. Consequently, the findings should be understood as an analysis of normative and governance frameworks rather than an assessment of the lived experiences of affected communities or specific municipal contexts.

DISCUSSION

The Constitutional Right to Water in South Africa

Section 27 of the South African Constitution guarantees the right to adequate water, obligating the state to implement reasonable steps to ensure the realisation of this right. This commitment includes an urgent duty to ensure access to water and a progressive realisation of the right, necessitating the state to rectify systematic inequities in water resource access.[8] The constitutional framers acknowledged that although the right to water is a fundamental human right, its realisation necessitates a strong legal-administrative framework to convert these rights into concrete advantages for citizens. Section 27 encompasses a broad range of socio-economic rights, including health, food, and housing. However, the "progressive realisation" clause enables the state to pursue this objective within available resources, often leading to ambiguities surrounding state obligations and the timelines for achieving these rights. This ability to defer responsibility has led to challenges in holding the state accountable for water access deficiencies.[9] The principle of gradual realisation, while designed to enhance adaptation in resource-constrained contexts, has faced criticism for lack of adequate accountability measures.[10] Research demonstrates that whereas legislation confidently proclaims rights, the socio-political landscape reveals stagnation in water service provision, especially in rural areas where administrative capabilities are frequently inadequate.[11]

Administrative Capacity Failures in Rural Water Service Delivery

Local governments in South Africa are essential for the implementation of water rights, but they often face significant limitations that hinder efficient service delivery. Research indicates that numerous towns face challenges due to insufficient budgets, a shortage of trained personnel, and ineffective bureaucratic procedures that exacerbate water access issues.[12] These institutional deficiencies are evident in the deterioration of physical infrastructure and governance issues that make rural communities susceptible to persistent water shortages. A major obstacle to efficient water service provision arises from declining infrastructure. Numerous rural regions depend on outdated or defective systems, intensifying existing disparities and weakening the constitutional guarantee of water access.[13] Planning shortcomings, frequently resulting from bureaucratic inefficiency and inadequate technical skills, further impede local governments' capacity to deliver essential services.[14] Predominant administrative inefficiency exacerbates these issues, obstructing prompt responses to water crises and compromising both service continuity and quality. This inefficiency is due to inadequate coordination among governance layers, insufficient engagement of community stakeholders, and ineffective budgeting processes.[15]

One of the most significant contributors to water insecurity in rural municipalities is financial mismanagement and the deterioration of infrastructure systems. Numerous municipalities experience recurring audit failures, irregular expenditure, and ineffective budgeting practices that compromise water service delivery. Financial instability often prevents municipalities from maintaining existing infrastructure or investing in new water systems necessary to meet growing community demands. Consequently, water infrastructure in many rural areas has deteriorated to critical levels, resulting in recurring pipe bursts, dysfunctional treatment facilities, and unreliable water supply. The collapse of municipal infrastructure reflects broader institutional governance failures within local government

---

[8] Immaculate Sabelile Muthathi, Mary Kawonga, and Laetitia Charmaine Rispel, "Using Social Network Analysis to Examine Inter-Governmental Relations in the Implementation of the Ideal Clinic Realisation and Maintenance Programme in Two South African Provinces," *PLoS One* 16, no. 5 (2021): e0251472.

[9] Christopher Ansell, Eva Sørensen, and Jacob Torfing, "Improving Policy Implementation through Collaborative Policymaking," *Policy & Politics* 45, no. 3 (2017): 467–86.

[10] J Virgílio Cruz et al., "Water Management and Planning in a Small Island Archipelago: The Azores Case Study (Portugal) in the Context of the Water Framework Directive," *Water Policy* 19, no. 6 (2017): 1097–1118.

[11] Xolisile G Ngumbela, "The Relationship between Policy, Governance and Service Delivery in Local Government in South Africa," *International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Social Science*, no. 2 (34) (2022).

[12] Kgobe France Khutso Lavhelani and John Mamokhere, "Public Administration and Governance in African Perspectives: Challenges and Recommendations," *Journal of Social Sciences*, no. 2 (2023): 137–48.

[13] Ngumbela, "The Relationship between Policy, Governance and Service Delivery in Local Government in South Africa."

[14] Lavhelani and Mamokhere, "Public Administration and Governance in African Perspectives: Challenges and Recommendations."

[15] Eduardo Bizzo and Gregory Michener, "Forest Governance without Transparency? Evaluating State Efforts to Reduce Deforestation in the Brazilian Amazon," *Environmental Policy and Governance* 27, no. 6 (2017): 560–74.

administration. In several rural municipalities, maintenance programmes are absent or poorly implemented due to the shortages of technical expertise and administrative capacity. These governance deficiencies not only undermine service delivery but also weaken public confidence in democratic institutions responsible for implementing constitutional obligations.

Constitutional and Legislative Framework Governing Water Rights

The constitutional right to water in South Africa is supported by a broader legislative and institutional framework designed to facilitate equitable access to water resources. Section 27(1)(b) of the Constitution guarantees everyone the right to have access to sufficient water, while section 27(2) obliges the state to take reasonable legislative and other measures, within available resources, to progressively realise this right. These provisions establish both negative and positive obligations on the state, requiring government institutions to refrain from unjustifiable limitations on access to water while simultaneously taking proactive steps to expand service delivery. The constitutional framework is further reinforced by the Water Services Act 108 of 1997, which establishes the legal responsibilities of municipalities as water service authorities. The Act obliges municipalities to ensure access to basic water supply services in a sustainable and equitable manner. In addition, the National Water Act 36 of 1998 promotes the equitable allocation and sustainable management of water resources within South Africa. Together, these statutes create a comprehensive legal structure intended to operationalise constitutional water rights through institutional governance mechanisms. The constitutional principle of cooperative governance also plays an important role in water administration. Sections 40 and 41 of the Constitution require national, provincial, and local spheres of government to cooperate in mutual trust and good faith. However, despite this constitutional framework, fragmented implementation and institutional conflict continue to undermine effective water governance in many rural municipalities. The gap between legislative commitments and administrative implementation remains one of the central obstacles to the meaningful realisation of the right to water.

Intergovernmental Relations in South African Water Governance

The South African Constitution establishes a framework for cooperative governance; however, its implementation is often fragmented across national, provincial, and local government bodies. This fragmentation results in ambiguous duties and responsibilities, hence complicating the management and provision of water services.[16] Comprehending the impact of intergovernmental relations (IGR) on the efficient delivery of water services and the ability to collaborate within various governance tiers is essential for improving accountability and resource allocation. The absence of cohesive links among policy actors leads to substantial coordination failures that obstruct program execution. An examination of IGR reveals a persistent pattern in which miscommunication and divergent agendas among governmental tiers impede effective and integrated water resource management.[17] These deficiencies in the intergovernmental relationship invariably translate into negative consequences for rural water supply, where communities most in need often face the greatest barriers to access. Without reaffirming the principles of accountability and mutual responsibility across the spheres of government, the constitutional right to water may remain largely unfulfilled.

The fragmentation of responsibilities between different spheres of government often creates uncertainty about accountability for water delivery failures. National government is responsible for policy formulation and regulatory oversight, provincial governments provide support and monitoring functions, while municipalities are primarily responsible for direct service delivery. However, in practice, these overlapping responsibilities often produce institutional conflict, delays in implementation, and inadequate coordination mechanisms. Rural municipalities are particularly affected by these governance tensions because they frequently depend on provincial and national support for financial resources, technical expertise, and infrastructure development. The absence of coherent coordination frameworks undermines effective planning and contributes to persistent service delivery

[16] Muthathi, Kawonga, and Rispel, "Using Social Network Analysis to Examine Inter-Governmental Relations in the Implementation of the Ideal Clinic Realisation and Maintenance Programme in Two South African Provinces."
[17] Ansell, Sørensen, and Torfing, "Improving Policy Implementation through Collaborative Policymaking."

failures. As a result, communities experiencing severe water shortages are often trapped within bureaucratic disputes concerning institutional responsibility.

Legal Accountability and Oversight in South Africa's Water Administration

Legal systems designed to provide accountability in water governance exhibit considerable enforcement deficiencies. The oversight procedures in place are frequently inadequate, resulting in little accountability for public officials who neglect their responsibilities regarding water provision.[18] The absence of accountability promotes inequities in access and erodes public confidence in governmental entities responsible for regulating water rights. Although South Africa's administrative law establishes frameworks for oversight and recourse, the efficacy of these processes is inconsistent. Inadequate monitoring and evaluation systems lead to ineffective assessments and a failure to resolve ongoing issues such as corruption and incompetence in local governments.[19] The difficulties in monitoring and assessing administrative performance foster a culture of impunity, complicating the accountability of government officials for their contributions to failures in water administration.[20] This atmosphere constrains the potential for administrative justice and the rule of law, further excluding people from meaningful recourse over their water rights.

Transformative Constitutionalism and Administrative Practice in South Africa

Transformative constitutionalism underscores the necessity of not only codifying rights but also guaranteeing their substantive realisation through efficient administration. In South Africa, although water rights are constitutionally guaranteed, they sometimes remain only symbolic due to inadequate administrative practices and accountability measures.[21] Transformative constitutionalism necessitates a comprehensive reform of structural frameworks that marginalise populations. It promotes governance reforms that emphasise human rights while acknowledging the socio-economic inequalities present in service delivery systems.[22] The discourse surrounding transformative constitutionalism highlights the urgent need for institutional reforms aimed at actualising constitutional commitments to water access. Although legal guarantees on paper provide a semblance of security of rights, the lack of institutional capacity undermines these assurances. Without addressing the systemic weaknesses at local governance levels, the realisation of water rights cannot progress beyond legislative acknowledgment. Ultimately, achieving authentic compliance with constitutional water rights will require extensive governance reform to improve administrative capacity, promote accountability, and guarantee institutional integrity. These reforms would enable municipalities to fulfil their constitutional obligations efficiently, converting the normative framework of rights into tangible realities for all South Africans.[23]

Judicial Enforcement of the Right to Water

South African courts have played a significant role in interpreting the constitutional right to water and defining the obligations of the state in relation to socio-economic rights. Judicial interpretation has contributed to the development of constitutional principles concerning reasonableness, progressive realisation, and administrative accountability. However, despite important judicial interventions, significant challenges remain regarding the practical enforcement of water rights in rural communities.

In *Mazibuko v City of Johannesburg*, the Constitutional Court considered the legality of prepaid water meters and the adequacy of the City of Johannesburg's free basic water policy. The applicants

[18] Hester Vorster and Lilian Nwosu, "Evaluating Policies and Regulations Used to Control Corruption among Accounting Officers in the Public Sector of South Africa: A Systematic Literature Review," *Frontiers in Sociology* 9 (2024): 1371287.

[19] Makota Gilbert Madisha and Prudence Khumalo, "Intergovernmental Relations and Implementation of Social Housing Policy in Gauteng Province, South Africa," *International Journal of Research in Business and Social Science (2147-4478)* 13, no. 4 (2024): 388–402.

[20] Bizzo and Michener, "Forest Governance without Transparency? Evaluating State Efforts to Reduce Deforestation in the Brazilian Amazon."

[21] Lavhelani and Mamokhere, "Public Administration and Governance in African Perspectives: Challenges and Recommendations."

[22] Muthathi, Kawonga, and Rispel, "Using Social Network Analysis to Examine Inter-Governmental Relations in the Implementation of the Ideal Clinic Realisation and Maintenance Programme in Two South African Provinces."

[23] Ni Putu Suryantari and Desak Putu Dewi Kasih, "Analysis of the Role of State Administrative Law in Public Policy," *West Science Interdisciplinary Studies* 3 (2025): 235–40.

argued that the state had not provided sufficient water consistent with constitutional obligations. Although the Court acknowledged the importance of the right to water, it ultimately adopted a deferential approach towards state policy choices and held that the government's measures were reasonable within available resources. The decision attracted criticism for limiting the substantive enforcement of socio-economic rights and granting excessive discretion to administrative authorities. Similarly, in Residents of *Bon Vista Mansions v Southern Metropolitan Local Council*, the court held that the disconnection of water supply to residents without procedural fairness violated constitutional protections. The judgment affirmed that access to water is closely connected to dignity and basic human survival. The case remains important because it emphasised that municipalities have constitutional obligations that extend beyond administrative convenience and financial considerations. The jurisprudence of South African courts demonstrates both the possibilities and limitations of judicial enforcement in socio-economic rights litigation. Although courts can establish constitutional standards and hold the state accountable in principle, long-term implementation ultimately depends on effective administrative systems capable of translating judicial directives into practical outcomes in service delivery.

Community Participation and Water Justice

Community participation remains an essential component of democratic water governance and constitutional accountability. The Constitution encourages participatory governance by requiring public institutions to remain responsive, transparent, and accountable to the communities they serve. However, rural communities are frequently excluded from meaningful participation in decision-making processes related to water governance and infrastructure planning. The exclusion of local communities from governance processes contributes to ineffective policy implementation because administrative decisions are often made without adequate consideration of local realities and community needs. Public participation mechanisms within municipalities are frequently procedural rather than substantive, limiting opportunities for rural residents to influence governance outcomes meaningfully. This exclusion further reinforces distrust between communities and government institutions responsible for water service delivery. The discourse on water justice further highlights the unequal distribution of water access and governance power in South Africa. Rural communities continue to experience disproportionate levels of vulnerability despite constitutional commitments to equality and social justice. Addressing water insecurity therefore requires not only legal reform but also the democratisation of governance processes to ensure that affected communities actively participate in decisions concerning water administration and resource allocation.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The persistent failure to realise the constitutional right to water in rural South Africa demonstrates the urgent need for institutional and governance reform. Although South Africa has an extensive constitutional and legislative framework protecting access to water, the effectiveness of these protections depends largely on the administrative capacity and accountability of the institutions responsible for implementation. Addressing rural water insecurity therefore requires governance-centred interventions aimed at strengthening public administration, institutional coordination, and constitutional compliance.

Strengthening Administrative Capacity in Rural Municipalities

One of the most urgent reforms required within South Africa's water governance framework is the strengthening of administrative and technical capacity in rural municipalities. Numerous municipalities responsible for water service delivery continue to experience shortages of skilled personnel, institutional instability, and weak planning mechanisms. The absence of qualified engineers, water management specialists, and experienced municipal administrators significantly undermines the ability of local government to maintain infrastructure and ensure sustainable service delivery. Government should therefore prioritise the professionalisation of municipal administration through targeted recruitment, technical training programmes, and long-term institutional development strategies. Administrative

appointments within water service authorities should be based on competence, technical expertise, and governance experience rather than political considerations. Strengthening institutional capacity would improve planning, budgeting, infrastructure maintenance, and emergency response mechanisms within rural municipalities.

Improving Infrastructure Development and Maintenance

Deteriorating water infrastructure is a principal cause of water insecurity in rural communities. Many municipalities continue to rely on aging infrastructure systems that are poorly maintained and incapable of meeting growing community demands. In several rural areas, broken pipelines, dysfunctional treatment facilities, and neglected reservoirs directly contribute to recurring water shortages and declining water quality. Government must therefore prioritise long-term infrastructure investment and preventative maintenance programmes within rural municipalities. Infrastructure governance should move beyond reactive responses to crises and adopt sustainable planning models focused on maintenance, monitoring, and early intervention. Greater financial oversight is also required to ensure that funds allocated for infrastructure development are used efficiently and transparently. Improving infrastructure management would significantly contribute to the realisation of constitutional water rights and reduce service delivery interruptions in vulnerable communities.

Strengthening Intergovernmental Coordination

The fragmentation of responsibilities between national, provincial, and local government institutions continues to undermine effective water governance in South Africa. Although the Constitution promotes cooperative governance, the practical implementation of intergovernmental relations frequently suffers from institutional conflict, duplication of responsibilities, and weak coordination mechanisms. These governance failures delay service delivery and create uncertainty about accountability for administrative failures. To address these challenges, clearer coordination frameworks should be developed to regulate communication, planning, and resource allocation between different spheres of government. National and provincial departments responsible for water governance must provide greater technical and financial support to struggling rural municipalities. In addition, mechanisms to monitor intergovernmental cooperation should be strengthened to ensure that constitutional obligations are fulfilled collaboratively and efficiently.

Enhancing Accountability and Oversight Mechanisms

The effectiveness of constitutional rights depends heavily on the existence of strong accountability systems capable of monitoring administrative conduct and addressing governance failures. However, weak oversight mechanisms, corruption, and poor consequence management continue to undermine water governance in many municipalities. Public officials responsible for recurring administrative failures are frequently not subjected to meaningful disciplinary or legal consequences. Therefore, there is a need to strengthen institutional accountability through improved monitoring systems, transparent reporting obligations, and stricter enforcement of governance standards. Oversight institutions such as the South African Human Rights Commission, the Public Protector South Africa, and the Auditor-General of South Africa should play a more active role in investigating water governance failures and monitoring compliance with constitutional obligations. Strengthened accountability frameworks would improve administrative discipline and restore public confidence in state institutions responsible for water delivery.

Promoting Community Participation and Inclusive Governance

Meaningful community participation is essential for democratic governance and sustainable water administration. Rural communities are often excluded from decision-making processes related to water infrastructure development, budget priorities, and service delivery planning. This exclusion weakens governance legitimacy and contributes to policy failures because administrative decisions are frequently made without sufficient understanding of local realities. Therefore, municipalities must establish more inclusive and participatory governance mechanisms that allow communities to contribute meaningfully

to water governance decisions. Public participation processes must move beyond procedural compliance and ensure substantive engagement with affected communities. Traditional leadership structures and local governance institutions should also be incorporated into participatory frameworks where appropriate, particularly in rural areas where customary governance systems continue to play an important social role.

Embedding Constitutional Values in Administrative Practice

Transformative constitutionalism requires public administration to internalise constitutional values within everyday governance practices. Constitutional rights should not remain symbolic commitments detached from practical implementation. Instead, municipalities and government departments responsible for water governance must integrate constitutional principles such as dignity, equality, accountability, and responsiveness into planning, budgeting, and service delivery processes. Administrative decision-making should therefore be guided by a rights-based approach that prioritises vulnerable communities and promotes substantive equality in access to water resources. Municipal performance management systems should also include constitutional compliance indicators to assess whether governance practices contribute meaningfully to the progressive realisation of socio-economic rights. Embedding constitutional values within public administration would strengthen institutional responsiveness and improve the practical implementation of water rights in rural South Africa.

Strengthening Anti-Corruption Measures in Water Governance

Corruption and financial mismanagement continue to undermine water governance and divert resources away from essential infrastructure development and service delivery. Procurement irregularities, misuse of municipal funds, and weak financial oversight contribute significantly to the collapse of water systems in many rural municipalities. Government should strengthen anti-corruption mechanisms through stricter procurement oversight, transparent financial reporting, and improved auditing processes. Whistleblower protection systems should also be improved to encourage the reporting of corruption within municipal administration. Effective anti-corruption reforms are necessary not only to improve governance outcomes but also to ensure that public resources intended for water service delivery are used efficiently and lawfully.

Ultimately, the meaningful realisation of the constitutional right to water requires a comprehensive transformation of governance structures responsible for public administration and service delivery. Legal recognition alone cannot guarantee water justice without capable institutions, effective accountability systems, and responsive governance practices. Sustainable reform must therefore focus on strengthening administrative capacity, promoting institutional integrity, improving coordination, and ensuring that constitutional obligations are translated into practical realities for rural communities across South Africa.

CONCLUSION.

This paper claims that South African rural water insecurity is caused by poor government management and legal responsibility, not constitutional or legal protection. Despite their constitutional right to water, institutional ineptitude, fragmented management, and poor enforcement exclude rural communities. Analysis demonstrates that administrative systems are needed to realise constitutional rights. Without effective, coordinated, and accountable government administration, the fundamental right to water risks becoming symbolic. This divide undermines the transformative ambitions of South Africa's constitutional order and public trust in government. Combining socio-legal research and public administration perspectives, the article redefines water insecurity as a governance failure rather than a legal issue in South Africa. For socio-economic rights, administrative competence, intergovernmental collaboration, and accountability must be improved. In rural South Africa, promoting water justice requires administrative practice reform to achieve constitutional commitments, not formal articulation. The right to water would remain nominal but unattainable for many rural residents without such reform. The analysis presented in this article demonstrates that constitutional transformation requires more than legal recognition of rights. The effectiveness of socio-economic rights depends largely on the

administrative capability, integrity, and responsiveness of state institutions responsible for implementation. Persistent governance failures within rural municipalities reveal to what extent institutional weaknesses can undermine constitutional promises and deepen existing inequalities. The crisis of rural water governance in South Africa therefore represents both a constitutional and administrative challenge. Unless meaningful reforms are introduced to strengthen accountability, institutional coordination, and public administration capacity, rural communities will continue to experience exclusion from essential water services despite the existence of progressive constitutional protections.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Ansell, Christopher, Eva Sørensen, and Jacob Torfing. "Improving Policy Implementation through Collaborative Policymaking." *Policy & Politics* 45, no. 3 (2017): 467–86.

Bizzo, Eduardo, and Gregory Michener. "Forest Governance without Transparency? Evaluating State Efforts to Reduce Deforestation in the Brazilian Amazon." *Environmental Policy and Governance* 27, no. 6 (2017): 560–74.

Cruz, J Virgílio, Carla Melo, Dina Medeiros, Sérgio Costa, Raquel Cymbron, Sara Rocha, Carlos Medeiros, Ana Valente, Sandra Mendes, and Daniel Silva. "Water Management and Planning in a Small Island Archipelago: The Azores Case Study (Portugal) in the Context of the Water Framework Directive." *Water Policy* 19, no. 6 (2017): 1097–1118.

Gabru, N. "Some Comments on Water Rights in South Africa." *Potchefstroom Electronic Law Journal/Potchefstroomse Elektroniese Regsblad* 8, no. 1 (2005).

Lavhelani, Kgobe France Khutso, and John Mamokhere. "Public Administration and Governance in African Perspectives: Challenges and Recommendations." *Journal of Social Sciences*, no. 2 (2023): 137–48.

Madisha, Makota Gilbert, and Prudence Khumalo. "Intergovernmental Relations and Implementation of Social Housing Policy in Gauteng Province, South Africa." *International Journal of Research in Business and Social Science (2147-4478)* 13, no. 4 (2024): 388–402.

Majahana, John Moyo, Ahmed Mukalazi Kalumba, Sonwabo Perez Mazinyo, and Leocadia Zhou. "Stakeholders' Perceptions on the Formulation of Climate Change Adaptation Policy and Governance: A Case of the Water Sector in the Raymond Mhlaba and Ngqushwa Local Municipalities, South Africa." *Water* 17, no. 7 (2025): 944.

Mapuva, Jephias. "An Assessment of Law and Practice of the Right to Water: Evidence from Zimbabwe." *The African Review* 52, no. 1–2 (2024): 166–81.

Masiangoako, Thato, Kelebogile Khunou, and Alana Potter. "Fighting for Water in South Africa: Public Participation, Water Rights Claiming and Strengthening Governance." *H2Open Journal* 5, no. 1 (2022): 98–114.

Mbana, Zenande, and Ndidzulafhi Innocent Sinthumule. "What Is There to Drink? Water (in) Justice in the Democratic South Africa." *Frontiers in Water* 6 (2024): 1354477.

Muthathi, Immaculate Sabelile, Mary Kawonga, and Laetitia Charmaine Rispel. "Using Social Network Analysis to Examine Inter-Governmental Relations in the Implementation of the Ideal Clinic Realisation and Maintenance Programme in Two South African Provinces." *PLoS One* 16, no. 5 (2021): e0251472.

Nchabeleng, Peter Morepje. "Examining the Challenges Faced in Service Delivery by South African Rural Communities after 28 Years of Democratic Government Administration: The Case of Sekhukhune Municipal Areas-Limpopo Province." *E-Journal of Humanities Arts and Social Sciences* 6, no. 7 (2025): 983–96.

Ngumbela, Xolisile G. "The Relationship between Policy, Governance and Service Delivery in Local Government in South Africa." *International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Social Science*, no. 2 (34) (2022).

Suryantari, Ni Putu, and Desak Putu Dewi Kasih. "Analysis of the Role of State Administrative Law in Public Policy." *West Science Interdisciplinary Studies* 3 (2025): 235–40.

Tseole, Nkeka P, Tinashe Innocent Mutero, and Moses John Chimbari. "Exploring the Key Factors

Influencing Water Scarcity for Domestic Use in Rural Communities of UMkhanyakude District, KwaZulu-Natal Province, South Africa: A Qualitative Analysis," 2024.

Tshona, Sinazo Sixolisiwe, Sithenkosi Lungisa, and Luluto Mgweba. "Thirsting for Solutions: Unpacking Inadequate Water Provision in Rural Communities." *Africa's Public Service Delivery and Performance Review* 13, no. 1 (2025): 873.

Vorster, Hester, and Lilian Nwosu. "Evaluating Policies and Regulations Used to Control Corruption among Accounting Officers in the Public Sector of South Africa: A Systematic Literature Review." *Frontiers in Sociology* 9 (2024): 1371287.

ABOUT AUTHORS

Lubabalo Mjadu holds an LLB and a Master of Laws (LLM) *cum laude* from Walter Sisulu University, with a specialization in Public and Constitutional Law.

Bane Vuntu holds a Bachelor of Administration (B.Admin), a Bachelor of Administration Honours, and a Master of Public Administration (MPA). Both authors are currently advancing their studies at the doctoral level.