E-Journal of Humanities, Arts and Social Sciences (EHASS)
ISSN – Online 2720-7722 | Print 2821-8949
Volume 7 Issue 9 2026 pp 2683 - 2692
Available online at: https://noyam.org/journals/ehass/
DOI: https://doi.org/10.38159/ehass.20267915

Check for updates

Administrative failures and the Constitutional Right to access safe water in South Africa

Lubabalo Mjadu[1]

[1] University of Fort Hare, Faculty of Law, South Africa.

ABSTRACT

This study investigates the impact of administrative errors on the constitutional right to access clean and adequate water in South Africa. Despite the legislative framework guaranteeing this right, numerous people, particularly in rural and under-resourced regions, continue to suffer from inadequate water service delivery. The study seeks to evaluate the efficacy of current systems in upholding this right in practice. A doctrinal and sociolegal methodology is employed, using legislation, constitutional stipulations, and institutional practices for water governance. The results indicate that inadequate planning, insufficient maintenance, ineffective water quality control, and constrained administrative capability lead to persistent service delivery problems. Inadequate oversight, insufficient accountability, and minimal community engagement exacerbate the issues. The paper argues that these failings should be viewed as constitutional issues rather than mere administrative challenges. The report advocates for the enhancement of rules and regulations to explicitly delineate roles, fortification of oversight and accountability mechanisms, and the augmentation of institutional capacity via improved training and resource allocation. It also underscores the necessity for enhanced community engagement. This study enhances academic discourse by demonstrating that deficiencies in water service delivery constitute not only governance challenges but also infringements of constitutional rights, while emphasising the necessity for improved alignment of administrative systems with constitutional principles.

Keywords: Right to water, Water service delivery, Governance and accountability, Substantive equality, South Africa.

INTRODUCTION

Historical injustices and modern imbalances complicate water access and distribution in South Africa. Apartheid caused water inequality by marginalising Black people and denying them access to water, especially in rural areas.[1] The apartheid government deliberately structured development and service delivery along racial lines through discriminatory spatial planning policies and the Bantustan system. The apartheid government systematically excluded Black communities in former homelands and rural areas from adequate water infrastructure, sanitation systems, and municipal investment. While white urban areas benefited from advanced infrastructure development and reliable water provision, many Black communities relied on rivers, boreholes, and unsafe water sources for daily survival. This unequal

[1] Ademola Oluborode Jegede and Pumzile Shikwambane, "Water 'Apartheid'and the Significance of Human Rights Principles of Affirmative Action in South Africa. Water, 13, no 8 (2021), 1104.

CORRESPONDENCE – Lubabalo Mjadu **Email:** mjadu.luusta@gmail.com
PUBLICATION HISTORY - Received: 2nd February, 2026 | Accepted: 27th July, 2026 | Published: 28th October, 2026.
TO CITE THIS ARTICLE – Mjadu, Lubabalo. "Administrative failures and the Constitutional Right to access safe water in South Africa." *E-Journal of Humanities, Arts and Social Sciences* 7, no.9 (2026): 2683 - 2692. https://doi.org/10.38159/ehass.20267915
COPYRIGHT AND LICENSING - © 2026 The Author(s). Published and Maintained by Noyam Journals.
This is an open access article under the CCBY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

allocation of infrastructure entrenched long-term patterns of rural underdevelopment and socio-economic exclusion. Consequently, many historically disadvantaged communities continue to experience inadequate water access, poor sanitation, and failing infrastructure even in the democratic era.

Historical dispossession continues to shape national water rights and management discourse, making clean, potable water a critical concern for millions.[2] Water scarcity, climate change, and mismanagement worsen inequalities in South Africa, forcing governments and non-governmental organisations to rethink their water distribution strategies.[3] Recently, South Africa has experienced severe water governance challenges linked to collapsing municipal infrastructure, weak institutional capacity, and inadequate maintenance of water systems. Many municipalities struggle with ageing pipelines, sewage spillages, water losses, and failing treatment facilities, resulting in unsafe drinking water and recurring service delivery interruptions. Rural communities remain particularly vulnerable, with many households still relying on rivers, boreholes, and water tank deliveries to meet basic needs. The "Day Zero" crisis in Cape Town further exposed the vulnerability of South Africa's water systems to drought, climate variability, and governance failures. These conditions demonstrate that the country's water crisis is not solely environmental in nature but is also deeply connected to administrative and institutional shortcomings.

South Africa's Constitution (Section 27) guarantees access to water, but equitable distribution ensures that all communities receive a fair allotment of water resources based on their needs and rights. Following the transition to constitutional democracy in 1994, South Africa adopted a transformative constitutional framework aimed at addressing historical injustices and advancing substantive equality. The Constitution introduced a rights-based approach grounded in human dignity, equality, accountability, and social justice. Section 27 recognises access to sufficient water as a fundamental socio-economic right, while Sections 9 and 10 reinforce the constitutional values of equality and dignity. These provisions place positive obligations on the state to take reasonable legislative and administrative measures to ensure equitable access to water services. The constitutional commitment to transformative constitutionalism therefore requires the state to not only recognise rights formally but also to actively remedy historical exclusion and improve living conditions for vulnerable communities.

This distinction is crucial because access does not resolve distributional justice challenges that have persisted since apartheid.[4] The Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) emphasise equitable water management since community resource allocation and use affect access.[5] The central research problem under consideration is the disconnect between constitutional imperatives for equitable water distribution and the lived realities of marginalised communities in South Africa. Although the Constitution guarantees the right to access sufficient water, its implementation remains inconsistent and unequal across different communities. Administrative inefficiency, weak governance structures, poor infrastructure maintenance, corruption, and inadequate accountability mechanisms continue to undermine effective water service delivery. In many instances, the challenge is not the absence of legal protections, but rather the failure of institutions to implement constitutional obligations effectively. The persistence of water insecurity therefore raises serious constitutional concerns regarding the state's compliance with its socio-economic duties and obligations. The objectives of this study are threefold: to analyse the historical contexts informing current water policies, evaluate the effectiveness of contemporary distribution frameworks, and propose sustainable methods for achieving equitable distribution. The central argument advanced in this article is that persistent failures in water service delivery should not merely be viewed as administrative inefficiencies or governance shortcomings. Rather, such failures constitute constitutional violations because they undermine fundamental rights

[2] Priscilla Baatseba Monyai et al., "The Role of Communities in Innovative Water Management: Sustainability Governance in the Emerging Country," *Journal of Governance and Regulation/Volume* 11, no. 4 (2022).

[3] Anthony Letsoalo et al., "Triple Dividends of Water Consumption Charges in South Africa," *Water Resources Research* 43, no. 5 (2007).

[4] Zibongiwe Mpongwana, Kemist Shumba, and Sarah Bracking, "Reflections on Rural Household Water Insecurity: Evidence from Goboti and Khubvi in the Eastern Cape and Limpopo Provinces, South Africa," *African Journal of Inter/Multidisciplinary Studies* 4, no. 1 (2022): 217–33.

[5] Monyai et al., "The Role of Communities in Innovative Water Management: Sustainability Governance in the Emerging Country."

protected by the Constitution, including the rights to dignity, equality, health, and access to sufficient water. The article argues that meaningful realisation of the right to water requires accountable institutions, sustainable infrastructure, participatory governance, and the effective implementation of constitutional obligations. Without these measures, the constitutional promise of equitable access to water risks remaining symbolic rather than transformative.

South African society was inequitable under apartheid, especially regarding water allocation. These discriminatory laws and practices deprived Black South Africans and other marginalised groups of their water rights, perpetuating systemic disadvantages.[6] The transition to democracy sought to right these wrongs, but constitutional imperatives remain difficult to implement. South Africa's constitutional right to sufficient water provides a solid foundation for equitable water governance, but water scarcity, historical mismanagement, systemic inequalities, weak community participation, and limited accountability undermine it. Discrepancies in access and contentious governance practices reveal the failure to implement legislative promises. This paper examines how governance structures, institutional arrangements, and participatory mechanisms affect water distribution outcomes, laying the groundwork for tracking accountability gaps and identifying reforms to make the constitutional promise of water access a reality for all South Africans.

METHODOLOGY

This study adopted a qualitative doctrinal and socio-legal research design based exclusively on secondary sources. A desktop research approach was employed to collect, review, and analyse relevant legal, policy, and scholarly materials relating to water governance and the constitutional right to access sufficient water in South Africa. Sources included the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, national legislation governing water resources and services, judicial decisions, government policies and reports, peer-reviewed journal articles, books, and scholarly commentaries. The selection of sources was guided by three criteria: relevance to the constitutional right to water and water governance; authority and credibility of the source, including legislation, case law, government publications, and peer-reviewed academic literature; and currency, with preference given to contemporary scholarship and policy developments while retaining seminal works necessary for historical and doctrinal context. Sources that did not directly address water governance, constitutional obligations, equitable distribution, or administrative accountability were excluded.

Data analysis was conducted through doctrinal legal analysis and thematic content analysis. The doctrinal analysis involved examining constitutional provisions, legislation, and judicial decisions to determine the nature and scope of state obligations concerning equitable water distribution. Thematic analysis was used to identify recurring patterns and themes within the literature, including equity, governance failures, accountability, sustainability, administrative capacity, and participatory governance. These themes were compared and synthesised to assess the effectiveness of existing legal and governance frameworks.

The study was further informed by the interpretive frameworks of transformative constitutionalism and substantive equality. Transformative constitutionalism provided a lens through which to evaluate whether legal and governance structures contribute to addressing historical inequalities and advancing social justice. The principle of substantive equality was utilised to assess whether water allocation and governance mechanisms adequately respond to the needs of historically disadvantaged and vulnerable communities. Through these frameworks, the study critically examined the extent to which current governance arrangements facilitate the realisation of the constitutional right to water. The combination of doctrinal, thematic, and normative analysis enabled a comprehensive assessment of the relationship between constitutional obligations, governance practices, and equitable water distribution, while informing recommendations for improving sustainable and rights-based water governance in South Africa.

[6] Jegede and Shikwambane, "Water 'Apartheid'and the Significance of Human Rights Principles of Affirmative Action in South Africa."

DISCUSSION

The Constitutional Right to Water and the Meaning of Equity

The South African Constitution upholds substantive equality, which goes beyond water provision. Substantive equality acknowledges discrimination in water distribution during apartheid and requires reparations for marginalised populations. This concept requires reevaluating resources for historically disadvantaged communities to ensure equitable water access.[7] According to Richard, institutional inefficiencies and systemic biases that perpetuate water disparities limit this principle's implementation. Water governance must address these persistent imbalances to meet the constitutional imperative of equity.[8] According to Section 27 of the Constitution, progressive realisation requires the state to offer water access based on resource availability and legislative measures. However, using this approach has been difficult. Recent studies indicate that this progressive strategy has neglected essential water access demands, particularly in impoverished communities.[9] This gap between written commitments and actual reality calls into question current policies and emphasises the necessity for proactive rather than reactive adjustments. Fair water allocation debates still centre on redistributive justice. The post-apartheid government's policy framework purported to redistribute water rights amidst a predominantly inequitable land and water ownership structure.[10] Despite the establishment of legislative frameworks, the empirical evidence suggests that existing water rights are still heavily skewed towards historically affluent groups, thus obstructing meaningful progress toward more equitable distribution.[11] Thus, a strong redistributive justice framework that acknowledges past inequalities and actively corrects them to meet the constitutional requirement is needed.[12]

Water Allocation and Distribution Frameworks in South Africa

South African water distribution and licensing laws often reinforce underlying inequality. Smallholders and developing farmers struggle with limited access to current systems, which favour commercial agriculture.[13] This disparity highlights the need to redesign existing frameworks to improve inclusivity and constitutionally compliant access.[14] Water governance must also consider local requirements and meet regional water demands. Historical inequalities in water governance demonstrate the limits of legislative improvements without systemic transformation. Many frameworks are rooted in colonial and apartheid-era practices that perpetuated socioeconomic differences.[15] Post-1994 government policy formulation has improved, but poor implementation and enforcement often prevent genuine redistributive attempts from succeeding, entrenching inequality.[16] We need an integrated approach to policy reform that takes into account the historical backdrop and current socio-political processes affecting water access. The initial enthusiasm for post-apartheid water programmes has faded as political and bureaucratic obstacles have prevented equitable water distribution.[17] Legal frameworks provide a blueprint for equity, but bureaucratic delay and an inability to operationalise them for the marginalised

---

[7] Zenande Mbana and Ndidzulafhi Innocent Sinthumule, "What Is There to Drink? Water (in) Justice in the Democratic South Africa," *Frontiers in Water* 6 (2024): 1354477.

[8] Richard Stacey, "Falling Short of Constitutional Norms: Does 'Normative (In) Congruence' Explain the Courts' Inability to Promote the Right to Water in South Africa?," *Law & Social Inquiry* 43, no. 3 (2018): 796–826.

[9] Richard Kwame Adom and Mulala Danny Simatele, "Analysis of Public Policies and Programmes towards Water Security in Post-Apartheid South Africa," *Water Policy* 23, no. 3 (2021): 503–20.

[10] Philip Woodhouse, "Reforming Land and Water Rights in South Africa," *Development and Change* 43, no. 4 (2012): 847–68.

[11] J S Kemerink, R Ahlers, and P Van der Zaag, "Contested Water Rights in Post-Apartheid South Africa: The Struggle for Water at Catchment Level," *Water SA* 37, no. 4 (2011): 585–94.

[12] P. J. Ashton et al., "Integrating Biodiversity Concepts with Effective Governance to Support Water Resources Management in South Africa," *Water SA* 31, no. 4 (2005): 449–56.

[13] C Chikozho, R Managa, and T Dabata, "Ensuring Access to Water for Food Production by Emerging Farmers in South Africa: What Are the Missing Ingredients?," *Water SA* 46, no. 2 (2020): 225–33.

[14] H M MacKay, K H Rogers, and D J Roux, "Implementing the South African Water Policy: Holding the Vision While Exploring an Uncharted Mountain," *Water SA* 29, no. 4 (2003): 353–58.

[15] Kemerink, Ahlers, and Van der Zaag, "Contested Water Rights in Post-Apartheid South Africa: The Struggle for Water at Catchment Level."

[16] Avhavhudzani Khangale, Onkgopotse Senatla Madumo, and Michel Mudikolele Tshiyoyo, "Complexities of Intergovernmental Relations in Water Service Provision: A Developmental Local Government Perspective," *Social Sciences* 12, no. 11 (2023): 614.

[17] Woodhouse, "Reforming Land and Water Rights in South Africa."

sometimes lead to insufficient allocation mechanisms.[18] Thus, focused interventions must address socio-political barriers to fair access, ensuring that policies are well-designed and well-executed to address structural inequities. Inequitable water distribution leaves rural and marginalised people struggling to meet basic necessities. The historical marginalization of these groups must be recognised, and their needs prioritized within water policy frameworks.[19] Local governance systems should play a pivotal role in shaping water policies, grounded in participatory frameworks that amplify local voices. Complementing national policies with localised, context-sensitive approaches can help improve access and service delivery, ensuring alignment with constitutional obligations.

Governance and Administrative Barriers to Just Water Allocation

As local, provincial, and national obligations vary, governance fragmentation makes water service delivery harder. Discordant policies and inefficiencies hinder water governance.[20] A holistic, integrative water management strategy is needed to align efforts across all levels of government and strengthen a commitment to equitable water distribution. Human and financial shortages sometimes strain water resource management administrations. Local governance struggles to provide basic water services, especially in disadvantaged areas, due to a lack of competent staff and a clear strategy.[21] Effective water governance requires strengthened administrative capability through training and resource allocation to local governments. Regulations are generally unenforced or poorly enforced, causing water governance framework implementation issues.[22] The problem of 'normative incongruence' shows that authorities may outwardly follow rules yet fail to achieve their goals.[23] Reform requires a willingness to build robust regulatory frameworks and implement them carefully, with accountability systems to ensure compliance and correct mismanagement. Accountability mechanisms are essential to ensuring that water governance adheres to principles of fairness and equity. Nevertheless, significant accountability gaps persist, often driven by bureaucratic opacity and weak oversight structures. Strengthening accountability frameworks through measures such as independent auditing and meaningful community participation in oversight processes is critical to improving transparency and building trust between governing institutions and the communities they serve.

Sustainability, Scarcity, and Competing Water Uses

Climate change threatens water availability, increasing competition for a few resources. Climate variability complicates water management because rainfall dynamics can worsen inequities and disproportionately affect disadvantaged communities. As empirical studies show, climate change and water access must be considered when creating resilient policies that ensure fair distribution.[24] Competition from agriculture, industry, and domestic requirements strains South Africa's water. Innovative policies like integrated water resource management that recognises the interconnectivity of water users are needed to balance these demands within an equity-focused framework.[25] This multifaceted approach must also address sustainability to ensure allocation rules do not undermine long-term water availability. In a water-scarce environment, equitable distribution is crucial. To guarantee marginalised populations' access to clean and secure water is not compromised by commercial interests,

[18] Adom and Simatele, "Analysis of Public Policies and Programmes towards Water Security in Post-Apartheid South Africa."
[19] Khangale, Madumo, and Tshiyoyo, "Complexities of Intergovernmental Relations in Water Service Provision: A Developmental Local Government Perspective."
[20] Bimo Abraham Nkhata, "The Role of Accountability in the Emergence of Adaptive Water Governance," *Ecology and Society* 29, no. 2 (2024).
[21] Erin L Bohensky, "Discovering Resilient Pathways for South African Water Management: Two Frameworks for a Vision," *Ecology and Society* 13, no. 1 (2008).
[22] Woodhouse, "Reforming Land and Water Rights in South Africa."
[23] Stacey, "Falling Short of Constitutional Norms: Does 'Normative (In) Congruence' Explain the Courts' Inability to Promote the Right to Water in South Africa?"
[24] Magalie Bourblanc and David Blanchon, "Political Ecologies of Water in South Africa: A Literature Review," *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Water* 6, no. 5 (2019): e1371.
[25] Chikozho, Managa, and Dabata, "Ensuring Access to Water for Food Production by Emerging Farmers in South Africa: What Are the Missing Ingredients?"

policymakers must prioritise their demands.[26] This requires water governance models that empower people, enforce rights, and address resource allocation imbalances, especially in emergencies.

Transformative Constitutionalism and Just Water Governance

The South African Constitution requires water distribution equity, demonstrating its transformational constitutionalism. This principle requires the state to aggressively pursue these goals to correct historical injustices and promote collective water access.[27] Translating constitutional principles into reality requires political will through actual policy initiatives. \Sustainability concepts in water governance frameworks are essential for resistance to climate change and population expansion. Sustainable practices protect ecological health and provide fair water resource allocation.[28] Legislation and policy must balance sustainability and social equality. Just water governance requires accountable government. Transparency, public involvement, and community responsibility guarantee that water services meet everyone's needs, including marginalised populations.[29] A strong governance framework based on justice and fairness can build trust and credibility in public resource management, promoting the constitutional requirement for equitable distribution. Equitable water distribution in South Africa is a complex issue that demands a varied strategy. Addressing historical inequalities, strengthening administrative capacities, and ensuring accountability are crucial to implementing constitutional imperatives. South Africa may achieve equitable water access by adopting transformational constitutionalism and prioritising sustainability in water governance.

Constitutional Jurisprudence on the Right to Water in South Africa

South African courts have played a critical role in defining the constitutional scope of the right to access sufficient water and the obligations imposed on the state. Judicial decisions demonstrate that water is not merely a service delivery issue but a constitutional right closely linked to dignity, equality, health, and human survival. At the same time, the jurisprudence exposes the ongoing tension between constitutional promises and administrative failures in practice.

a) ***Mazibuko and Others v City of Johannesburg and Others 2010 (4) SA 1 (CC).***

In Mazibuko v City of Johannesburg, residents of Phiri challenged the legality of prepaid water meters and the limited allocation of free basic water. The Constitutional Court adopted a reasonableness approach, holding that the state's measures were constitutionally valid under Section 27(2) of the Constitution. The Court refused to establish a minimum core quantity of water enforceable by individuals and instead deferred to government policy and resource allocation decisions. Although the judgement recognised water as fundamental to dignity and survival, it attracted criticism for limiting substantive judicial protection of vulnerable communities. The Court's deferential approach arguably weakened the enforceability of socio-economic rights by allowing broad administrative discretion. The case therefore illustrates the limitations of judicial protection where governance failures and inequality persist.

b) ***Residents of Bon Vista Mansions v Southern Metropolitan Local Council 2002 (6) BCLR 625 (W).***

In Residents of Bon Vista Mansions v Southern Metropolitan Local Council, the High Court held that municipalities cannot arbitrarily disconnect water services without considering constitutional rights and procedural fairness. The court affirmed that access to water is essential to dignity, health, and life and

[26] Jackie Dugard, "Water Rights in a Time of Fragility: An Exploration of Contestation and Discourse around Cape Town's 'Day Zero' Water Crisis," *Water* 13, no. 22 (2021): 3247.

[27] Isaac Shai, "The Right to Development, Transformative Constitutionalism and Radical Transformation in South Africa: Post-Colonial and de-Colonial Reflections," *African Human Rights Law Journal* 19, no. 1 (2019): 494–509.

[28] R K Adom, M D Simatele, and M Reid, "The Threats of Climate Change on Water and Food Security in South Africa," *American Journal of Environment and Climate* 1, no. 2 (2022): 6–10.

[29] Siyasanga Mbele and Betty C Mubangizi, "Rural Communities and Water Governance: Understanding Participatory Processes for Catchment Management in the Upper Umzimvubu Catchment," *International Journal of Environmental, Sustainability, and Social Science (IJESSS)* 4, no. 5 (2023): 1416–25.

therefore cannot be treated purely as a contractual or commercial issue. The judgement reinforced the principle that municipalities carry direct constitutional obligations in water governance. Administrative decisions affecting access to water must comply with legality, fairness, and accountability. The case remains significant because it recognised that unlawful water disconnections may amount to constitutional infringement.

c) Municipal Failures, Administrative Injustice, and Constitutional Obligations

South African courts have increasingly intervened in disputes involving municipal failures, weak governance, and poor service delivery. These cases often involve collapsing infrastructure, prolonged water interruptions, sewage contamination, and inadequate responses to community complaints. Courts have repeatedly affirmed that municipalities are constitutionally obligated to provide services in a manner consistent with dignity, equality, accountability, and responsive governance. The jurisprudence demonstrates that persistent failures in water service delivery are not merely administrative inefficiencies, but constitutional failures. While litigation has become an important mechanism for enforcing accountability, the continued reliance on courts also exposes deeper structural weaknesses in South Africa's water governance systems. Sustainable reform, therefore, requires not only judicial enforcement but also stronger institutions, effective oversight, and meaningful implementation of constitutional obligations.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Equity-based Allocation Criteria

The study argues that equitable water distribution should be regarded as a constitutional obligation rather than a policy choice. To ensure the right to water is genuinely significant, equity must be central to allocation decisions. It must not be optional or secondary. Water allocation mechanisms must be intentionally designed to rectify inequality, rather than perpetuate it. The paper contends that allocation decisions must be based on substantive equality. This necessitates acknowledging that specific populations have been historically marginalised and persist in facing water insecurity. Such communities must be prioritised in both policy formulation and execution. Vague references to access are inadequate when allocation mechanisms persist in favouring individuals who are already economically and socially privileged. It is asserted that current allocation and licensing regimes necessitate revision. In their present state, they frequently perpetuate existing patterns of privilege, notably favouring established and large-scale users. This necessitates alteration. Allocation systems must proactively allocate water in accordance with constitutional principles and address genuine social and economic requirements. The article advocates for the integration of equity-based metrics into allocation choices. Considerations including poverty, historical disadvantage, geographic marginalisation, and inadequate infrastructure should inform water allocation decisions. This would guarantee that judgements are both technically robust and socially equitable, as well as constitutionally compliant. The paper ultimately finds that without integrating equality into allocation mechanisms, the right to water may remain merely symbolic. A constitutional right that fails to alter distribution patterns cannot be considered genuinely achieved.

Sustainability-focused Governance

The study contends that equitable distribution is inextricably linked to sustainability. Neglecting natural constraints in water governance will render any advancements in access or redistribution ephemeral. The right to water must be actualised in a manner that safeguards the resource for both current and future generations. The study asserts that sustainability should be explicitly incorporated into allocation decisions rather than considered a secondary concern. Governance structures must guarantee that water allocation reconciles urgent human requirements with enduring environmental preservation. This necessitates a definitive commitment to prudent water management, especially under escalating scarcity and climate-induced challenges.

It is additionally contended that social fairness and environmental sustainability are complementary rather than conflicting goals. Equitable distribution is unattainable if water systems are

compromised, and sustainability is unfeasible when allocation is inequitable. Allocation models must be developed to concurrently address both issues, guaranteeing equitable access while safeguarding ecological integrity. The document emphasises the necessity for more adaptable and responsive governance strategies. Water management systems must be adept at adapting to fluctuating environmental conditions and addressing competing needs from domestic, agricultural, and industrial sectors. This necessitates transcending inflexible frameworks in favour of more adaptable and contextually aware decision-making procedures. The report ultimately finds that governance centred on sustainability enhances the fulfilment of the constitutional right to water. By protecting water supplies and encouraging appropriate usage, it guarantees that equal distribution is attained and maintained throughout time.

Strengthened Accountability Mechanisms

The paper argues that the effective realisation of equitable water distribution depends on strong and enforceable accountability mechanisms. Without accountability, even well-designed legal and policy frameworks remain ineffective in practice. It is therefore essential that oversight institutions are not only established but also properly empowered to monitor water allocation decisions, enforce compliance with constitutional and statutory duties, and take action where failures persist. The paper maintains that oversight must move beyond formal compliance and focus on actual outcomes. This means that institutions responsible for monitoring water governance should be able to assess whether allocation decisions are fair, equitable, and aligned with constitutional principles. Where shortcomings are identified, there must be clear and enforceable consequences for administrative failure, including corrective measures and, where necessary, sanctions. Transparency is identified as a central component of accountability. The paper emphasises the need for clear, accessible, and regular reporting on water allocation, service delivery performance, and institutional decision-making. Public access to such information is essential to enable scrutiny and to build trust between communities and governing authorities. In addition, the paper highlights the importance of performance monitoring and remedial enforcement. Monitoring systems should track whether water services are delivered equitably and sustainably, while enforcement mechanisms must ensure that identified failures are addressed promptly. This includes setting measurable standards, evaluating compliance, and implementing corrective interventions where necessary. The paper further stresses the role of community participation in strengthening accountability. Meaningful public involvement in oversight processes can help identify local challenges, expose governance failures, and ensure that decision-making reflects the needs of affected communities. Ultimately, the paper concludes that without strong accountability mechanisms, constitutional obligations risk remaining symbolic. Effective oversight, transparency, and enforcement are essential to ensure that the right to water is not only recognised in law but also realised in practice.

Improved Intergovernmental Coordination

The paper contends that fair water distribution necessitates efficient coordination among national, provincial, and local government levels. Fragmentation in water governance persists in hindering service delivery, since overlapping mandates, ambiguous duties, and inadequate communication can lead to inefficiencies and implementation gaps. The article asserts the necessity for a distinct and pragmatic definition of tasks and responsibilities among various levels of government. Each realm must possess clearly delineated responsibilities that correspond with constitutional mandates, minimising ambiguity and guaranteeing accountability for certain facets of water governance and service provision. Enhanced information-sharing mechanisms are necessary. Government institutions must facilitate the interchange of precise, timely, and pertinent data regarding water availability, infrastructure, allocation decisions, and service delivery difficulties. In the absence of this, planning stays disjointed and reactive instead of being organised and planned. The paper emphasises the significance of collaborative planning methods. Water governance requires joint planning across spheres of government to ensure that national policy objectives are effectively translated into provincial strategies and local implementation. This entails synchronising budgets, infrastructure development strategies, and service delivery priorities to prevent redundancy and guarantee optimal resource utilisation. Enhancing intergovernmental coordination is

thus identified as a crucial measure for advancing policy coherence. Coordinated governance frameworks facilitate the uniform application of equity and sustainability objectives throughout the system. The article asserts that without enhanced coordination, even well-formulated policies will find it challenging to effect significant change. An integrated governance strategy is essential to ensure the constitutional right to water is consistently and effectively realised throughout South Africa.

CONCLUSION

This article demonstrated that governance, administrative, and allocation issues persistently obstruct equitable water distribution in South Africa, despite the Constitution ensuring the right to obtain water. The issue lies not in the lack of legislation, but in the inadequate implementation and enforcement of existing laws. Inadequate institutions, insufficient coordination across several governmental tiers, and restricted accountability all exacerbate persistent service delivery failures. The study also showed that focusing only on access to water is not enough to address inequality. Merely granting access does not guarantee equitable distribution of water. Consequently, equitable distribution should be regarded as a constitutional mandate rather than merely a policy option. This indicates that water governance must aggressively emphasise underprivileged and marginalised communities. The article contended that the right to water ought to be interpreted through the lenses of equality, sustainability, and transformational constitutionalism. Neglecting these concepts renders the right to water only symbolic, failing to enhance individuals' daily lives. A pragmatic approach necessitates that the state rectify historical inequities, guarantee equitable distribution, and administer water resources sustainably. The research highlighted that fulfilling constitutional responsibilities necessitates proactive and responsible government. This encompasses enhancing administrative capabilities, reinforcing oversight, and ensuring improved collaboration among governmental frameworks. It shows that equity and sustainability are interconnected, as equal distribution fosters long-term water security. In summary, water justice in South Africa necessitates a cohesive and pragmatic strategy that integrates legal frameworks, governance, and constitutional principles. Without this, the right to water will remain unrealised, especially for vulnerable communities most affected by water shortages and poor administration.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Adom, R K, M D Simatele, and M Reid. “The Threats of Climate Change on Water and Food Security in South Africa.” *American Journal of Environment and Climate* 1, no. 2 (2022): 6–10.

Adom, Richard Kwame, and Mulala Danny Simatele. “Analysis of Public Policies and Programmes towards Water Security in Post-Apartheid South Africa.” *Water Policy* 23, no. 3 (2021): 503–20.

Ashton, P. J., M. J. Patrick, H. M. MacKay, and A. B. Weaver. “Integrating Biodiversity Concepts with Effective Governance to Support Water Resources Management in South Africa.” *Water SA* 31, no. 4 (2005): 449–56.

Bohensky, Erin L. “Discovering Resilient Pathways for South African Water Management: Two Frameworks for a Vision.” *Ecology and Society* 13, no. 1 (2008).

Bourblanc, Magalie, and David Blanchon. “Political Ecologies of Water in South Africa: A Literature Review.” *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Water* 6, no. 5 (2019): e1371.

Chikozho, C., R. Managa, and T. Dabata. “Ensuring Access to Water for Food Production by Emerging Farmers in South Africa: What Are the Missing Ingredients?” *Water SA* 46, no. 2 (2020): 225–33.

Dugard, Jackie. “Water Rights in a Time of Fragility: An Exploration of Contestation and Discourse around Cape Town’s ‘Day Zero’ Water Crisis.” *Water* 13, no. 22 (2021): 3247.

Jegede, A O., and P. Shikwambane. “Water ‘Apartheid’and the Significance of Human Rights Principles of Affirmative Action in South Africa." *Water*, 13 no. 8 (2021), 1104.

Kemerink, J S, R Ahlers, and P Van der Zaag. “Contested Water Rights in Post-Apartheid South Africa: The Struggle for Water at Catchment Level.” *Water SA* 37, no. 4 (2011): 585–94.

Khangale, Avhavhudzani, Onkgopotse Senatla Madumo, and Michel Mudikolele Tshiyoyo. “Complexities of Intergovernmental Relations in Water Service Provision: A Developmental Local Government Perspective.” *Social Sciences* 12, no. 11 (2023): 614.

Letsoalo, Anthony, James Blignaut, Theuns De Wet, Martin De Wit, Sebastiaan Hess, Richard S J Tol, and Jan Van Heerden. "Triple Dividends of Water Consumption Charges in South Africa." *Water Resources Research* 43, no. 5 (2007).

MacKay, H M, K H Rogers, and D J Roux. "Implementing the South African Water Policy: Holding the Vision While Exploring an Uncharted Mountain." *Water SA* 29, no. 4 (2003): 353–58.

Mbana, Zenande, and Ndidzulafhi Innocent Sinthumule. "What Is There to Drink? Water (in) Justice in the Democratic South Africa." *Frontiers in Water* 6 (2024): 1354477.

Mbele, Siyasanga, and Betty C Mubangizi. "Rural Communities and Water Governance: Understanding Participatory Processes for Catchment Management in the Upper Umzimvubu Catchment." *International Journal of Environmental, Sustainability, and Social Science (IJESSS)* 4, no. 5 (2023): 1416–25.

Monyai, Priscilla Baatseba, Shylet Yvonne Chivanga, Thapelo Monyai, and Sikhanyiso Ndlovu. "The Role of Communities in Innovative Water Management: Sustainability Governance in the Emerging Country." *Journal of Governance and Regulation/Volume* 11, no. 4 (2022).

Mpongwana, Zibongiwe, Kemist Shumba, and Sarah Bracking. "Reflections on Rural Household Water Insecurity: Evidence from Goboti and Khubvi in the Eastern Cape and Limpopo Provinces, South Africa." *African Journal of Inter/Multidisciplinary Studies* 4, no. 1 (2022): 217–33.

Nkhata, Bimo Abraham. "The Role of Accountability in the Emergence of Adaptive Water Governance." *Ecology and Society* 29, no. 2 (2024).

Shai, Isaac. "The Right to Development, Transformative Constitutionalism and Radical Transformation in South Africa: Post-Colonial and de-Colonial Reflections." *African Human Rights Law Journal* 19, no. 1 (2019): 494–509.

Stacey, Richard. "Falling Short of Constitutional Norms: Does 'Normative (In) Congruence' Explain the Courts' Inability to Promote the Right to Water in South Africa?" *Law & Social Inquiry* 43, no. 3 (2018): 796–826.

Woodhouse, Philip. "Reforming Land and Water Rights in South Africa." *Development and Change* 43, no. 4 (2012): 847–68.

ABOUT AUTHOR

Lubabalo Mjadu holds an LLB and a Master of Laws (LLM) *cum laude* from Walter Sisulu University, with a specialization in Public and Constitutional Law.