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ABSTRACT

This study aimed at testing the influence of organizational culture, transformational leadership, and personality on organizational commitment, with job satisfaction as the intervening variable. This study employed a quantitative method with path analysis technique and SITOREM (Scientific Identification Theory to Conduct Operation Research in Education Management) analysis. The study was carried out in a Private Madrasah Aliyah, East Jakarta, with hundred and forty (140) full-time teachers of the institution as the samples. Data were collected through questionnaires. The result of the study shows that there is a positive and significant direct influence of organizational culture on organizational commitment; transformational leadership on organizational commitment; personality on organizational commitment; job satisfaction on organizational commitment; organizational culture on job satisfaction; transformational leadership on job satisfaction and personality on job satisfaction. On the other hand, the study revealed that organizational culture does not significantly influence organizational commitment through job satisfaction; transformational leadership does not significantly influence organizational commitment through job satisfaction; and personality does not significantly influence organizational commitment through job satisfaction. From the result of the SITOREM analysis, seven (7) indicators of priority that requires handling were obtained. This study recommends that the institutions should improve their policies so that the level of teachers’ commitment is increased.
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INTRODUCTION

The role of a teacher in a Madrasah (an educational institution) is highly important, hence, the teacher’s commitment to his or her organization is required. Such a commitment is to develop and advance his or her institution. This is in line with the Code of Ethics and the Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) of a Private Madrasah Aliyah in East Jakarta City. The Administration states that a teacher is obliged to show loyalty to his or her institution and the leader. A highly committed teacher will ensure quality work ethics and always put in his or her best efforts in the interest of the institution to achieve the goals of education.
When all the key factors that promote welfare are evident in an organization, in this case a school, the employees (teachers) express a high level of commitment and can identify with the organization. Nevertheless, the leader (in this case the Proprietor) must decide to commit to giving subordinates tasks and responsibilities that would ensure effective performance.¹

However, this ideal condition (das solen) described above is not in line with reality (das sein). Earlier surveys and interview results with the Head of the Private Madrasah Aliyah (MAS) show that not all teachers are strongly committed to their institutions. Many are of the view that a Madrasah could merely be a stepping stone before landing a better and more promising job for their future. The results of a survey of thirty (30) full-time teachers of the Private Madrasah Aliyah showed that 37.8% of the teachers had not possessed a strong sense of belonging to the institution, 38.9% of the teachers had not committed to the institution, 21.7% of the teachers had not felt the benefits of the institution; 41.7% of the teachers are lured or tempted to find work elsewhere; 40% of the teachers had not been fully responsible for their institution; 37.8% of the teachers had not possessed high awareness to be loyal to their institution. This data is in line with the early observation carried out through interviews with some of the Head of the Madrasah, revealing that some teachers are still seeking more promising jobs in terms of income, teaching in another madrasah, moving to other institutions under the Ministry of Religious Affairs and to the Ministry of Manpower and enrolling or joining admission test for Candidates of Civil Servants. It is based on the aforementioned that the researchers became interested in studying the influence of organizational culture, transformational leadership, personality, and job satisfaction on organizational commitment. This study thus focuses on searching for forces that either directly or indirectly influence the study variables and also discusses indicators of each variable that would be the priorities to be improved.

**LITERATURE REVIEW**

For an employee to remain committed to any organization, several factors that form the culture need to come into play. This has been proven by a study result stating that organizational culture significantly positively affects organizational commitment.² This is in line with another study result also stating that organizational culture positively and significantly impacts organizational commitment.³ A key factor that retains teachers is transformational leadership. This is because where there is the presence of transformational leadership, organizational commitment is assured.⁴ Strong transformational leadership would thus increase employees' desire to work efficiently and effectively and remain committed to the organization.⁵ Personality, another supporting factor, also plays an important role. This is because the personality of the leadership either has a positive or negative direct effect on the organizational commitment of civil servant teachers.⁶ Utami et. al., have shown that the big five model personal traits; openness to experience, conscientiousness, extraversion, agreeableness, and remain committed to the organization.

---

⁵ Veny Senjaya and Rina Anindita,"The Role Of Transformational Leadership and Organizational Culture towards Organizational Commitment through Job Satisfaction among Mining Industry Employee,"*Journal of Applied Management*, Volume 8, Number 4, (2020), 767-782
and neuroticism positively and significantly influence organizational commitment. The last supporting factor is job satisfaction. A study by Fariz showed that job satisfaction positively affects organizational commitment. Job satisfaction is generally known to be one of the keys that has a positive and significant effect on organizational commitment. The key elements mentioned are discussed in detail below:

Organizational Commitment

According to Colquitt, an employee's commitment to the organization is expressed in their desire to continue working there. The degree to which employees are committed to their employers' organizations, their willingness to act on their behalf, and the chance that they will continue to be members can be conceived of as organizational commitment. According to Luthans, Organizational commitment is a mindset that reflects staff members' loyalty to their company. It is a continuous process through which organizational participants demonstrate their concern for the company's success and general well-being. Based on the aforementioned views, organizational commitment can be described as a person's emotional attachment to their organization which gives him or her the motivation to actively fulfill their rational needs and obligations.

Organizational Culture

Schein has said that culture is a pattern of common fundamental beliefs that a group learns. It resolves its issues with external adaptation and internal integration which works well enough to be considered valid, and as a result, to be taught to new members as the proper perspective, thought process, and emotional response to those issues. The pattern of values, conventions, beliefs, attitudes and assumptions that may not have been explicitly stated but influence behavior and how things are done in an organization is known as organizational culture. Kreitner and Kinicki have stated that the set of shared, unquestioned implicit beliefs that an organization has shapes how it perceives, considers, and responds to its diverse settings. Based on the previous theories, the synthesis of organizational culture is a system containing values, norms and beliefs as a specific identity of the organization in performing its work.

Transformational Leadership

According to Bass and Riggio, transformational leaders encourage and excite people to achieve amazing results while simultaneously strengthening their own leadership capabilities. Robbins and Judge are of the view that transformative leaders motivate their followers to put the needs of the organization first.

---

8 Tutik Winarsih and Fariz Fariz,"The Effect of Job Satisfaction on Organizational Commitment and Work Discipline," Budapest International Research and Critics Institute Journal (BIRCI), Vol., No.1, (2021), 1328-1339, DOI: https://doi.org/10.33258/birci.v4i1.1759
organization ahead of their own. The impact of transformational leaders on their followers can be enormous. When leaders and followers work together to achieve the organization's goals rather than exploiting the organization to further their personal agenda. This is known as transformational leadership. Based on the theories mentioned, it can be concluded that transformational leadership is one's behavior to influence, motivate, stimulate intellectuality and give attention to all of his subordinates to achieve the goals of the organization.

**Personality**
An individual's personality is made up of ordered comparatively durable psychological traits and systems that influence how they interact with and adjust to their intrapsychic, physical, and social contexts. Rathee has posited that generally speaking, personality is an individual's distinctive and largely consistent pattern of behavior, ideas, and emotion. The largely consistent set of psychological characteristics that set one individual apart from another is their personality. It can be synthesized that personality is one’s relatively stable and distinctive characteristics that are a manifestation of his or her adaption to the surroundings.

**Job Satisfaction**
According to Spector, an attitude variable called "work satisfaction" measures how people feel about their jobs in general and different areas of their jobs. The degree to which a person feels positively or negatively about a job is known as job satisfaction. Kondalkar also adds that the attitude of the person toward his job is key to job happiness. It might thus be inferred that job satisfaction is a positive feeling one has as a manifestation of happiness upon receiving goodness from the company.

**METHODOLOGY**
This study employed a quantitative method with a path analysis technique and SITOREM analysis. Exogenous variables consist of organizational culture (X1), transformational leadership (X2), and personality (X3). The endogenous variable is organizational commitment (Y), and the intervening variable is job satisfaction (X4). Data were collected through questionnaires. As stated earlier, this study was carried out in a Private Madrasah Aliyah, East Jakarta with 140 full-time teachers of the institution as the population.

The validity of each research variable was verified using a correlation coefficient among item scores with a total score through the Pearson Product Moment correlation technique. The coefficient correlation score of each item was compared with the correlation score in table r and α=0.05. The instrument item was valid if the correlation coefficient count (r value) was bigger than (r table). The next valid item was used as a study instrument, while the invalid item was not used in research data collection (drop).

Reliability testing was carried out after validity testing, where the valid stated items were tested using the Cronbach Alpha formula. The criterion used to measure the reliable items is r > 0.7000. A section with a thorough explanation of all the procedures, tools, participants, and collaborators was

---

used in the study. To enable other scientists to comprehend, evaluate and compare the findings, the data acquisition protocols, methods and procedures, investigated parameters, methods of measurement and apparatus were given in sufficient depth. The number, age, and sex of the study's subjects and participants were specified. To enable the verification of the stated results, the statistical procedures were described in full. The definition of the abbreviated terminology used in the study would be included in a separate subsection of this section.

RESULTS /FINDINGS
In this study, the researchers provided the results as follows:

Table 1. Summary of Estimation of Normality Testing Standard Deviation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard Deviation Estimation</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Asymp sig (two-tailed)</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>Normality Testing Requirement sig&gt;0.05</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Y Variable over X1</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.058&gt;0.05</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y Variable over X2</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.200&gt;0.05</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y Variable over X3</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>0.343</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.343&gt;0.05</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y Variable over X4</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.200&gt;0.05</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X4 Variable over X1</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.077&gt;0.05</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X4 Variable over X2</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.200&gt;0.05</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X4 Variable over X3</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.200&gt;0.05</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Field Survey

Based on the data, the result of the calculation in table One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test (Asymp Sig. (2-tailed)) was obtained Sig value of 0.058 in significance value α =0.05. Because Sig score > α=0.05, H0 was accepted, and H1 was rejected, meaning that standard deviation (Y-Ŷ) was normally distributed. Thus, organizational commitment standard deviation on organizational culture could be interpreted as normally distributed. Other variables were also normally distributed.

Table 2. Summary of Data Homogeneity Testing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variance</th>
<th>Sig Test Of Homogeneity Y Variants</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>Homogeneity Testing Requirement sig&gt;0.05</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Y Variable over X1</td>
<td>0.128</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.128 &gt; 0.05</td>
<td>Homogeneous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y Variable over X2</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.057 &gt; 0.05</td>
<td>Homogeneous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y Variable over X3</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.067 &gt; 0.05</td>
<td>Homogeneous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y Variable over X4</td>
<td>0.471</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.471 &gt; 0.05</td>
<td>Homogeneous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X4 Variable over X1</td>
<td>0.600</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.600 &gt; 0.05</td>
<td>Homogeneous</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Summary of the Linearity of Regression Equation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Correlation</th>
<th>Linearity of Regression Equation</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F_count</td>
<td>F_table</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ŷ over X1</td>
<td>1.075</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>0.378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ŷ over X2</td>
<td>0.837</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>0.748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ŷ over X3</td>
<td>1.099</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>0.347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ŷ over X4</td>
<td>1.385</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>0.103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X4 over X1</td>
<td>0.797</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>0.796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X4 over X2</td>
<td>1.083</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X4 over X3</td>
<td>0.717</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>0.884</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the above table, regression equation Ŷ atas X1 shows a score Sig = 0.378 > 0.05 (5%) or F\_count = 1.075 and F\_table with dk numerator 43 and dk denominator 95 and in confidence level (significance) α = 0.05 is 1.51 (F\_count = 1.075 < F\_table = 1.51) which means that Ho is rejected and H1 is accepted. Thus, it can be interpreted that the regression equation model Ŷ on X1 is linear, and the linearity requirement is fulfilled. This simple linear regression model could be used to predict the level of commitment to the organization influenced by organizational culture variables. It applies to all variables that are linear by nature.

The following is a figure of a path coefficient with seven coefficients.

**Figure 1: Path coefficient diagram**
1. $\beta_{y1} = 0.325$ (path coefficient of Organizational culture ($X_1$) on organization commitment ($Y$))

2. $\beta_{y2} = 0.259$ (path coefficient of Transformational leadership ($X_2$) on organizational commitment ($Y$))

3. $\beta_{y3} = 0.263$ (path coefficient of Personality ($X_3$) on organizational commitment ($Y$))

4. $\beta_{y4} = 0.193$ (path coefficient of Job satisfaction ($X_4$) on organizational commitment ($Y$))

5. $\beta_{y1} = 0.219$ (path coefficient of Organizational culture ($X_1$) on Job satisfaction ($X_4$))

6. $\beta_{y4} = 0.159$ (path coefficient of Transformational leadership ($X_2$) on job satisfaction ($X_4$))

7. $\beta_{y4} = 0.423$ (path coefficient of Personality ($X_3$) on organizational commitment ($Y$))

Table 4. Recapitulation of Hypothesis Testing Result

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Path Coefficient</th>
<th>$t_{count}$</th>
<th>$t_{table}$</th>
<th>Testing Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$X_1$ over $Y$</td>
<td>0.325</td>
<td>4.906</td>
<td>1.977</td>
<td>Accepted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X_2$ over $Y$</td>
<td>0.259</td>
<td>4.346</td>
<td>1.977</td>
<td>Accepted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X_3$ over $Y$</td>
<td>0.263</td>
<td>3.755</td>
<td>1.977</td>
<td>Accepted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X_4$ over $Y$</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>2.702</td>
<td>1.977</td>
<td>Accepted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X_1$ over $X_4$</td>
<td>0.219</td>
<td>2.817</td>
<td>1.977</td>
<td>Accepted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X_2$ over $X_4$</td>
<td>0.159</td>
<td>2.251</td>
<td>1.977</td>
<td>Accepted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X_3$ over $X_4$</td>
<td>0.423</td>
<td>5.560</td>
<td>1.977</td>
<td>Accepted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X_1$ over $Y$ through $X_4$</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.538</td>
<td>1.977</td>
<td>Rejected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X_2$ over $Y$ through $X_4$</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.443</td>
<td>1.977</td>
<td>Rejected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X_3$ over $Y$ through $X_4$</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>1.045</td>
<td>1.977</td>
<td>Rejected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Field Survey

Table 5. SITOREM Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organizational Commitment</th>
<th>Indicators after Expert Assessment</th>
<th>Indicator Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st Sense of Belonging</td>
<td>1st Responsibility (18.47%)</td>
<td>3.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Willingness to be involved with the Organization</td>
<td>2nd Willingness to be involved with the Organization (17.40%)</td>
<td>4.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd Achievement of Merits from the Organization</td>
<td>3rd Awareness to be loyal (17.40%)</td>
<td>4.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th Absence of Seeking Job Opportunities else</td>
<td>4th Sense of Belonging (16.84%)</td>
<td>4.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th Responsibility</td>
<td>5th Achievement of Merits from the Organization (16.30%)</td>
<td>3.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6th Awareness to be loyal</td>
<td>6th Absence of Seeking Job Opportunities else (13.59%)</td>
<td>2.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Field Survey
Table 6. SITOREM Analysis
Organizational Culture (β=0.325) Rank I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Early Indicators</th>
<th>Indicators after Expert Assessment</th>
<th>Indicator Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st Artifacts</td>
<td>1st Basic Assumption (36.08%)</td>
<td>4.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Values</td>
<td>2nd Values (32.99%)</td>
<td>4.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd Basic Assumption</td>
<td>3rd Artifacts (30.93%)</td>
<td>4.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Field Survey

Table 7. SITOREM Analysis
Transformational Leadership (β=0.259) Rank III

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Early Indicators</th>
<th>Indicators after Expert Assessment</th>
<th>Indicator Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st Becoming Role Model</td>
<td>1st Understanding Subordinate Needs (17.70%)</td>
<td>3.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Charisma</td>
<td>2nd Intelectual Stimulation (17.19%)</td>
<td>4.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd Inspirational Motivation</td>
<td>3rd Becoming Role Model (17.19%)</td>
<td>4.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th Intelectual Stimulation</td>
<td>4th Inspirational Motivation (17.19%)</td>
<td>3.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th Understanding Subordinate Needs</td>
<td>5th Giving Attention (16.14%)</td>
<td>4.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6th Giving Attention</td>
<td>6th Charisma (14.59%)</td>
<td>4.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Field Survey

Table 8. SITOREM Analysis
Personality (β=0.263) Rank II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Early Indicators</th>
<th>Indicators after Expert Assessment</th>
<th>Indicator Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st Conscientiousness</td>
<td>1st Conscientiousness (21.05%)</td>
<td>4.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Agreeableness</td>
<td>2nd Emotional Stability (20.40%)</td>
<td>3.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd Emotional Stability</td>
<td>3rd Openness to Experience (19.74%)</td>
<td>4.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th Openness to Experience</td>
<td>4th Agreeableness (19.74%)</td>
<td>4.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th Extraversion</td>
<td>5th Extraversion ((19.07%)</td>
<td>4.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Field Survey

Table 9. SITOREM Analysis
Job Satisfaction (β=0.193) Rank IV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Early Indicators</th>
<th>Indicators after Expert Assessment</th>
<th>Indicator Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st Salary</td>
<td>1st Salary (17.75%)</td>
<td>4.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Career Development</td>
<td>2nd Career Development(17. 20%)</td>
<td>4.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd Job Condition</td>
<td>3rd Work it Self (17.20%)</td>
<td>4.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DISCUSSION

Direct Influence of Organizational Culture (X1) on Organizational Commitment (Y)
In hypothesis 1, the value of path coefficient $\beta_{y1} = 0.325$ with a value of Sig 0.000<0.05 and $t_{count} = 4.906$; while $t_{table}$ in real level $\alpha = 0.05$ is obtained $t_{table} = 1.977$, therefore $t_{count} > t_{table}$ meaning that H0 is rejected and H1 is accepted. Thus, there is a positive and significant direct influence of the organizational culture variable (X1) on the commitment to the organization (Y). Organizational culture was set as a guideline that can monitor the behavior of all Madrasah members. Furthermore, organizational culture could also influence teachers’ and students’ behavior in performing their work. Another researcher supports this statement that the majority of them have corporate cultural values that they use as a guide to create attitudes and behaviors that are in line with their needs.25

Direct Influence of Transformational Leadership (X2) on Organizational Commitment (Y)
In the second hypothesis, the value of path coefficient $\beta_{y2} = 0.259$ with the value of Sig 0.000 < 0.5 and $t_{count} = 4.346$; while $t_{table}$ in fundamental level $\alpha = 0.05$ is obtained $T_{table} = 1.977$. Therefore, $T_{count} > T_{table}$ means H0 is rejected, and H1 is accepted. Thus, the transformational leadership variable (X2) positively and significantly influences organizational commitment (Y).

A headmaster of a Madrasah who performs his duties well will influence his or her subordinates’ behavior and attitude in performing their work. The real action that he or she carries out is giving training on filling in the reports in the form of e-report. He or she also gives training on online teaching applications to his/her subordinates.

This elaboration is in line with the transformational leaders who also have such charm and can incorporate their followers’ beliefs and attitudes, allowing them to embrace and dedicate themselves to the objectives and goals of the organization.26

Direct Influence of Personality (X3) on Organizational Commitment (Y)
From the calculation, the result obtained the value of path coefficient $\beta_{y3} = 0.263$ with a value of Sig 0.000 < 0.05, and $t_{count} = 3.755$ while $t_{table}$ in real level $\alpha = 0.05$ is obtained $t_{table} = 1.977$, so $t_{count} > t_{table}$, which means H0 is rejected, and H1 is accepted. Therefore, there is a positive and significant influence of the personality variable (X3) on organizational commitment (Y).

This is in line with a study done by Palupiningdyah which revealed that personality has a positive and significant effect on organizational commitment.27

Teachers of this Private Madrasah Aliyah in East Jakarta have good personalities; they teach their students both physically and spiritually to produce balanced intellectual and spiritual personalities who can build their country. Therefore, teachers’ personalities must be good because

25 Kasmirudin, Meyzi Heriyananto, and Hernimawarti,”Effect of Organizational Culture on Organizational Commitment and Nurse Involvement as An Intervening Variable: Study at Maternity Hospital Business in Pekan Baru City, Indonesia”, International Journal of Science, Technology and Management, :1848-1865.
they are role models. While carrying out their duties, teachers can impart positive energy to people in their surroundings.

**Direct Influence of Job Satisfaction (X3) on Organizational Commitment (Y)**

The result of the calculation obtained the value of path coefficient $\beta_{y4} = 0.193$ with the value of Sig $0.008 < 0.05$ and $t_{count} = 2.702$; while $t_{table}$ in real level $\alpha =0.05$ is obtained $t_{table} = 1.977$, so $t_{count} > t_{table}$ which means H0 is rejected, and H1 is accepted. Thus, the job satisfaction variable (X3) has a direct positive influence on organizational commitment (Y).

This is supported by another researcher who states that Job satisfaction has a positive and significant effect on organizational commitment.²⁸

Some merits bestowed by the Private Madrasah Aliyah Institution (MAS) other than salary include transport fees, religious holiday bonuses, recognition, and career development. In addition, "arisan"锁定 each other to strengthen relationships between superiors, subordinates, and colleagues.

**Direct Influence of Organizational Culture (X1) on Job Satisfaction (X4)**

From the result of the calculation obtained the value of path coefficient $\beta_{a4} = 0.219$ with the value of Sig $0.006 < 0.05$ and $t_{count} = 2.817$; while $t_{table}$ in real level $\alpha =0.05$ is obtained $t_{table} = 1.977$, so $t_{count} > t_{table}$ which means H0 is rejected, and H1 is accepted. Therefore, the organizational culture variable (X1) has a positive and significant influence on job satisfaction (X4).

The finding of this study is in line with a study result stating that organizational culture affects job satisfaction.²⁹

The religious atmosphere in the Madrasah’s surroundings calms the soul and strengthens familiarity among colleagues so that teachers are satisfied in performing their job. Practicing religious activities (duha prayer, reading Qur’an, practicing muhadaroh, marawis, adzan, etc.) is a positive added value for the madrasah to attract people's interest and thus get students.

**Direct Influence of Transformational Leadership (X2) on Job Satisfaction (X4)**

From the result of the calculation is obtained the value of path coefficient $\beta_{g4} = 0.159$ with the value of Sig $0.026 < 0.05$ and $t_{count} = 2.251$; while $t_{table}$ in real level $\alpha =0.05$ is obtained $t_{table} = 1.977$, so $t_{count} > t_{table}$, which means H0 is rejected, and H1 is accepted. Thus, there is a positive direct and significant influence of the transformational leadership variable (X2) on job satisfaction (X4).

The finding of this study is in line with the result of the study stating that transformational leadership has a significant impact on job satisfaction.³⁰

The headmaster determines high-standard behavior such as punctuality – a form of discipline – gives examples of teaching methods and lives up norms and values of the madrasah. Of course, what the headmaster has done gives rise to respect, pride and joy among teachers, so this charismatic figure becomes the teachers’ role model. In the end, the madrasah teachers feel job satisfaction through a leader who gives real examples.

Teachers also feel satisfied through the leadership of the madrasah headmaster, who stimulates teachers to think creatively and gives new perspectives to solve current and future problems. The headmaster also invites teachers to hold discussions to set teaching strategies so that they feel pride because of the recognition of their existence from the madrasah headmaster and pride in their job as teachers. Furthermore, teachers also feel satisfied with the madrasah headmaster, who gives individual Attention and understands the needs of his or her teachers even though teachers have diverse needs.


The headmaster gives various training, seminars and workshops to cater to the teachers’ varying talents and competence. This leads to teachers’ satisfaction because they can develop their careers in the madrasah by participating in those activities.

**Direct Influence of Personality (X₃) on Job Satisfaction (X₄)**

From the result of the calculation is obtained the value of path coefficient $\beta_{43} = 0.423$ with the value of Sig $0.000 < 0.05$ and $t_{\text{count}} = 5.60$; while $t_{\text{table}}$ in whole level $\alpha = 0.05$ is obtained $t_{\text{table}} = 1.977$, so $t_{\text{count}} > t_{\text{table}}$, which means H0 is rejected, and H1 is accepted. Thus, there is a positive direct and significant influence of the personality variable (X₃) on job satisfaction (X₄).

The finding of this study is supported by another study done by Ranasinghe and Kottawatta stating that there is a positive relationship and impact of the big five personality traits on male and female teachers’ level of job satisfaction.³¹

Conformity between the teachers’ personalities and their work is important for success. Therefore, through its headmaster, the institution or madrasah lavishly should give presents, incentives, allowances and promotions when the need arises as forms of appreciation to the teachers so that they feel appreciated and recognized because of their performance.

**Indirect Influence of organizational culture (X₁) on Organizational Commitment (Y) through Job Satisfaction (X₄)**

From the calculation results, the value of path coefficient $\beta_{y41} = 0.042$ with $t_{\text{count}} = 5.38$; while $t_{\text{table}}$ in whole level $\alpha = 0.05$ is obtained $t_{\text{table}} = 1.977$, so $t_{\text{count}} < t_{\text{table}}$, so H0 is accepted, and H1 is rejected. Thus, the job satisfaction variable does not function effectively as the intervening variable in the indirect influence of organizational culture on the commitment to the organization. This is in line with the study result stating that job satisfaction has no role as an indirect effect between organizational culture and organizational commitment.³²

Based on the analysis of this study, the madrasah’s culture has formed its position to work in intelligence and to be grateful always to obtain God’s blessing so that their heart will be satisfied. It is consistent with the logo of the Ministry of Religious Affairs (Kemenag) “Ikhlas Beramal” (Sincere in Charity). Therefore, the power of the madrasah’s culture which has been rooted for a long time does not make job satisfaction a standard to improve teachers’ commitment to the institution (madrasah).

**Indirect Influence of Transformational Leadership (X₂) on the Commitment to the Organization (Y) through Job Satisfaction (X₄)**

From the calculated results, the value of path coefficient $\beta_{y42} = 0.031$ with $t_{\text{count}} = 0.443$; while $t_{\text{table}}$ in whole level $\alpha = 0.05$ is obtained $t_{\text{table}} = 1.977$, so $t_{\text{count}} < t_{\text{table}}$, which means that H0 is accepted and H1 is rejected. Therefore, the job satisfaction variable does not function effectively as an intervening variable in the indirect influence of transformational leadership on one’s commitment to the organization.

Transformational leadership does not significantly influence organizational commitment if related to job satisfaction. This condition occurs probably because of indicators of transformational leadership of the madrasah headmaster that have not been implemented maximumly, hence, teachers feel unsatisfied with the performance of the headmaster. Some weak indicators include motivation, inspiration and understanding the needs of the subordinates.

The weak indicators of job satisfaction include job security – that is, maintenance of the physical environment of the madrasah. Teachers feel unsafe because, for example, the laboratory has not been equipped with teaching equipment. Next is empowering security by intensifying security

---


performance and adding more security personnel so intruders such as merchants or burglars will not enter the madrasah, disturbing the students or the madrasah.

Fulfilling all the indicators of job satisfaction will, of course, add to the operational cost of the madrasah. Realizing this, teachers prioritize transformational leadership over job satisfaction. The most important thing for the teachers of the Private Madrasah Aliyah is to have a charismatic headmaster as an agent of change in the institution. The other result of the research is that when a leader uses a transformational leadership style to get workers committed to the organization, job happiness as a mediation variable cannot provide job satisfaction.33

**Indirect Influence of Personality (X₃) on the Commitment to the Organization (Y) through Job Satisfaction (X₄)**

From the calculation, the result is obtained value of path coefficient βₘ₄₃ = 0.082 with tₑ₄ₒ₃ = 1.045; while tₑ₄ₒ₃ in whole level α = 0.05 is obtained tₑ₄ₒ₃ = 1.977, so tₑ₄ₒ₃ < tₑ₄ₒ₃ which means that H₀ is accepted and H₁ is rejected. Therefore, the job satisfaction variable does not function effectively as an intervening variable in the indirect influence of personality on the commitment to the organization.

Teachers of Private Madrasah Aliyah are people whose hearts are engraved with sincerity, gratefulness, and simplicity. Furthermore, these teachers who are well-mannered and empathic are expected never to behave aggressively and to never resort to anarchy in their madrasah.

**SUMMARY**

The study has revealed that there is a direct, positive and significant influence of organizational culture on organizational commitment. The stronger the madrasah cultures applied, the stronger the teachers’ commitment to the institution or Private Madrasah Aliyah in East Jakarta. Transformational leadership positively, significantly and directly influences organizational commitment. The higher the applied transformational leadership of the headmaster of Private Madrasah Aliyah, the higher the teachers’ commitment to the institution – Madrasah. Personality has a positive, significant and direct influence on organizational commitment. The better the teachers' personalities, the more committed they teachers to the institution – Private Madrasah Aliyah. There is also a positive, significant and direct influence of job satisfaction on organizational commitment. The higher the teachers’ job satisfaction, the higher the teachers’ commitment to Private Madrasah Aliyah. The job satisfaction variable however, does not function effectively as an intervening variable because the culture of the madrasah, the headmaster’s transformational leadership and the teachers’ personalities of Private Madrasah Aliyah are simple, sincere in working, and good at being grateful to get happiness in the world and hereafter.

**RECOMMENDATIONS**

The study recommends that the principals or institutions of Private Madrasah Aliyah should trust their teachers by giving them tasks, delegating authority and allowing self-supervision. This is to ensure that the teachers have responsibilities for their tasks. Moreover, they need to improve the institution's policies example, improving their Reward/Remuneration Policy. The revised policy should include giving rewards to high-performing staff, giving 13th-month salary, having lunch together on Fridays, providing breakfast, organizing Teacher Appreciation Days, attending seminars/ or workshops, joining competitions and permitting the teachers to study for their masters or doctoral programs so that the teachers will be equipped to perform better. Their commitment levels would be increased as a result.

**CONCLUSION**

The study has analysed data collected from a Private Madrasah Aliyah in East Jakarta on the impact of organizational culture on employee commitment. The data has confirmed that organizational culture

---

has a positive, significant and direct influence on job satisfaction. The stronger the applied organizational culture of the madrasah, the higher job satisfaction the teachers will feel. Transformational leadership positively, significantly and directly influences job satisfaction. The better the style of transformational leadership that the headmaster applies, the higher the teachers’ job satisfaction will be. There is a significant direct influence of personality on job satisfaction. The better the teachers’ personalities, the higher their job satisfaction. When all stakeholders play their part in ensuring that the welfare of teachers becomes a key focus in policies and is implemented effectively, the commitment level of teachers will increase which will go a long way to improve the quality of education of students.
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